```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                            Norfolk Division
 3
 4
      GMS INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY, INC.
 6
               Plaintiff,
                                              CIVIL ACTION NO.
                                              2:19cv324
 7
      V.
 8
      G&S SUPPLY, LLC, et al,
 9
               Defendants.
10
11
12
                        TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
13
                         (EXCERPT OF PROCEEDINGS)
                           Norfolk, Virginia
14
                               June 2, 2022
15
16
     BEFORE: THE HONORABLE RODERICK C. YOUNG
17
              United States District Judge
18
19
     APPEARANCES:
20
               PENDER & COWARD PC
               By: William A. Lascara
2.1
                    Jeffrey Dennis Wilson
                     Jesse Brian Gordon
22
                     Thomas S. Berkley
                     Counsel for Plaintiff
2.3
               McGuireWoods LLP
24
               By: Robert William McFarland
                    Micaylee Alexa Noreen
25
                    Jeanne E. Noonan
                     Counsel for Defendants
```

I N D E X	
PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES	PAGE
Direct Examination By Mr. Gordon	4 46
Redirect Examination By Mr. Gordon	
Direct Examination By Mr. Berkley	57
DEFENDANT'S	
WITNESSES	<u>PAGE</u>
EXHIBITS	
NO. DESCRIPTION	<u>PAGE</u>
115 119	6 11
120	13 14
117	20 39
63 65	65 69
62 64	71 86
66 67	88 91
68 89	93 98
73 49	103 114
48 6	117 120
5 28	122 124
	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES  DONNA GRACE RUPLEY     Direct Examination By Mr. Gordon     Cross-Examination By Mr. McFarland     Redirect Examination By Mr. Gordon DANIELLE RENDE ROBICHAUX     Direct Examination By Mr. Berkley  DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES  E X H I B I T S  PLAINTIFF'S NO. DESCRIPTION  115 119 120 124 117 258 63 65 62 64 66 67 68 89 73 49 48 66 5

- 2 Q. And why did Westly have access to the portal?
- 3 A. Because he was part of our executive staff.
- 4 Q. All right. Thank you.
- If I could have you turn to 115 now, which should be
- 6 another one of these oversized ones that you have on the
- 7 desk. And are you with me on 115?
- 8 A. I believe so. The numbers are a little bit cut off here
- 9 on the end. I think it's 115, yes.
- 10 Q. And can you identify what this document is?
- 11 A. This is the plan for -- with a variety of bases for
- 12 Westly Greer.
- 13 Q. And so you should have a green sheet in there which
- 14 | corresponds to the tab on the digital version.
- What do you see behind the first green tab there?
- 16 A. Is there a page number?
- 17 Q. It's just one page. It starts with base name in the
- 18 upper left-hand corner.
- 19 A. Okay. I'm not there.
- 20 So it's not the first page that you're referring to?
- 21 | Q. No. So first, it starts with what you just identified as
- 22 | the plan.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Oh, you know what? I think I missed a divider. I
- 25 apologize.

- 1 | about that tab that we have got up on the screen, the data
- 2 tab. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. All right. And it's named data on the digital version,
- 5 but what do we see present in this chart?
- 6 A. We were working on the lookup table. It's geek speak.
- 7 I'm sorry. So you would have another spreadsheet with all of
- 8 | the information that you're looking at right here with all of
- 9 | the part numbers, the kit names. The idea was -- is that the
- 10 account managers wouldn't have to go through the process of
- 11 typing things over and over again. They would just
- 12 be able to put in a part name, and then the rest would
- 13 populate.
- 14 Q. And how is it selected what part names would go into the
- 15 V lookup table?
- 16 A. These, in particular, are for -- I see a couple of them
- 17 here for non-standards. Most of these are -- about half of
- 18 | them are NSNs. Half of them are non-standards.
- 19 Q. Were these items that were frequently sold?
- 20 A. Some of them. I believe it was more of a test sheet than
- 21 | actual physical use, but that's my recollection.
- 22 Q. All right. And in the left-hand side, left-hand column,
- 23 you see a number of contacts; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. All right. And if we could just scan down so that

- 2 list.
- 3 All right. It looks like there is approximately 162
- 4 | contacts there in Mr. Greer's portal?
- 5 A. 161 plus the header, yes.
- 6 Q. Yes. Okay. And if we go to the first page, the plan,
- 7 | the left-hand column, we looked at this before, this is the
- 8 | same form that we looked at for Mr. Hayes, correct?
- 9 A. Correct. And you will see the different base names
- 10 | there, which is why we added that column.
- 11 Q. All right. And was Mr. Greer limited to Fort Carson?
- 12 A. Oh, no.
- 13 Q. And if we would scan down, are the other base names in A,
- 14 | bases where he sold on behalf of GMS Industrial?
- 15 A. Yeah. He was basically -- being in his position, he was
- 16 | there to break open territory, to assess places. So he went
- 17 to multiple bases.
- 18 Q. Okay. And there is a final column that's off the
- 19 monitor; if we could take it all the way to the right edge.
- So, we see 2016, and then when we skip -- we scan
- 21 down. We get to a break where it jumps from 2016 to 2019.
- 22 Do you understand why there is that break in his plan between
- 23 | 2016 and 2019?
- 24 A. Yes. At some point in -- I don't know the exact date,
- 25 | but he became a manager of people, and so the rest of the

- 2 territory. He was managing them. And then, in 2019, his
- 3 agreement changed, and he was back to the regular account
- 4 | manager role.
- 5 Q. All right. If you could now take out 119, please.
- 6 A. Is that another?
- 7 Q. Yeah. It should be. If I organized them well, it would
- 8 | be the next one in your pile.
- 9 A. Okay. And you did.
- 10 Q. All right. Do you have it in front of you?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. All right. If you could just take a look at it quickly.
- 13 | I know it's rather large. Do you recognize this document?
- 14 A. I have to get to -- because you started with the order
- 15 | sheet, I don't have the base name on here. This is Greg
- 16 Spires.
- 17 Q. Greg Spires, okay.
- 18 And the first document behind the cover sheet, that
- 19 is the sales tracking that we've discussed?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. All right. And then behind the first sheet is some
- 22 | contacts?
- 23 A. Where am I looking at it now?
- 24 Q. So, in the paper copy --
- 25 A. Uh-huh.

- 1 Q. -- so, we have these green sheets in here. So, you just
- 2 | identified that the first one was the sales tracking, and
- 3 | then we've got a green sheet. And I'm just asking you what
- 4 document you've got behind that.
- 5 A. So, we went to the sale tracking. Now we're at the POC
- 6 information.
- 7 Q. Yes. Exactly. Thank you.
- 8 All right. And then --
- 9 A. This is actually -- no, no. This is the plan because
- 10 | we've got the notes to include the sale on here.
- 11 Q. Okay. And what -- the plan, what's the -- the top left,
- 12 | what do you see?
- 13 A. Fort Sill.
- 14 Q. Fort Sill, okay.
- And in that packet, do you also have a POC?
- 16 A. Let me see. I've never seen them printed out like this
- 17 before. You'll have to bear with me.
- 18 Q. Sure. And we can look on the screen as well.
- 19 A. They're huge.
- I can look on the screen?
- 21 Q. Yeah.
- 22 A. Okay. Wonderful. Yes, that's the POC information.
- 23 Q. Okay. So, what you've just reviewed, is that the portal
- 24 for Mr. Spires?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

```
MR. GORDON: Your Honor, we move for the admission
 1
 2
     of Exhibit 119.
 3
              THE COURT: Any objection?
              MR. MCFARLAND: No objection, Your Honor.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted, and
 6
     you may publish.
 7
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 119 received in evidence.)
 8
              MR. GORDON: All right. Publish to the jury,
 9
     please.
10
     BY MR. GORDON:
11
     Q. I want you to go ahead and flip to the plan tab for me.
12
     And if we could scan all the way to the right, to the notes
1.3
     column.
14
             All right. As we look down in the third and fourth
15
     boxes down, it says "no funding." Why would that be helpful
     to have that information for GMS?
16
17
     A. Because that particular unit didn't have funds available
18
     to them to purchase materials.
19
     Q. All right. And how could the sales agents use that
20
     information?
2.1
        They would find out when the funding would be coming in.
22
     They would continue to meet with the customer, build a needs
2.3
     list, and they just know that they wouldn't be able to fund
24
     an order.
25
     Q. And again, if we scan across, we can see that this
```

- 1 | information is also in a row that has the point of contact's
- 2 | name and their base?
- 3 A. Some of our account managers are not the best at filling
- 4 out the data.
- 5 Q. Let's move on to 120.
- If you could take a look at 120 for me.
- 7 A. Yes. Got it.
- 8 Q. And do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 120?
- 9 A. Yes. This is Fort Hood. This is going to be Mike
- 10 Welton.
- 11 Q. And was he good at keeping his records?
- 12 A. He was unique at keeping his records.
- 13 Q. And if you just look through here, does this include the
- 14 | plan and his contact list --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- and the sales tracking?
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. All right. And you recognize this?
- 19 A. (Witness nodded.)
- 20 MR. GORDON: All right. Move for the admission of
- 21 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 120.
- 22 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. MCFARLAND: No objection, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. It will be entered.
- You may publish.

- 1 MR. GORDON: All right. If we could publish it.
- 2 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 120 received in evidence.)
- 3 BY MR. GORDON:
- 4 Q. And let's bring up -- we've got the first map up. Let's
- 5 | scan all the way to the right.
- 6 Did Mr. Welton track an area that not all of the
- 7 other sales agents did?
- 8 A. Some of them do. He kept track of what products were in
- 9 use, and that's awesome.
- 10 Q. All right. And why is that information helpful to GMS?
- 11 A. That's very helpful because not only do customers change,
- 12 | they're deployed to other areas, but that unit itself uses
- 13 | that same material whether that person is there or not.
- 14 Q. And that information is contained here in GMS' Google
- 15 drive?
- 16 A. Yes. That's in our Google drive.
- 17 | O. And what fort did Mr. Welton sell at?
- 18 A. He was at Fort Hood.
- 19 Q. All right. If you could go to Exhibit 124.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. And if you could just take a look through what you've got
- 22 | there. Do you recognize this to be another sales agent
- 23 portal?
- 24 A. Yeah. This is Sabrina Greer.
- 25 Q. Okay. Sabrina Greer?

```
A. Uh-huh.

Q. All right. It includes her plan, her contact list, and her sales history?
```

- A. Yes.
- MR. GORDON: Move for the admission of 124.
- 6 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 7 MR. MCFARLAND: No objection, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted.
- 9 You may publish.
- 10 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 124 received in evidence.)
- MR. GORDON: Thank you for publishing it, Madam
- 12 Clerk.
- 13 BY MR. GORDON:
- 14 Q. What -- based on this, what fort did Ms. Greer mainly
- 15 | sell at?
- 16 A. Fort Carson, Colorado.
- 17 Q. And if we could go to the sales tab SMS GCS Fort Carson.
- 18 | And again, this lists the sales price of every sale that she
- 19 reported?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And if this information became public, the sales price of
- 22 | every sale she made would be available?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- Q. And this POC name, those were the chiefs that she sold
- 25 to?

- 1 A. Excuse me?
- 2 | Q. The POC name, the column D, those were the contacts that
- 3 | she sold to?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. All right. And do you see a Chief Oyola in that column?
- 6 A. Yes. Right there, uh-huh, 162.
- 7 Q. If we go over to the POC tab, those would be her
- 8 contacts?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. All right. And if we go down about halfway -- actually,
- 11 I think we need the info tab.
- Didn't we see the same on Mr. Greer's? He had an
- 13 | info tab that had names --
- 14 A. He had a desktop.
- 15 Q. -- and contacts, and then the POC that was largely empty?
- 16 A. They always have the freedom to add another tab or so if
- 17 | they want to. I just need to have the ones that are standard
- 18 | to stay.
- 19 Q. And if we go scan down to the bottom of that, about how
- 20 many --
- 21 THE COURT: We're going to have a hard time hearing
- 22 | you over there.
- MR. GORDON: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
- 24 BY MR. GORDON:
- 25 Q. Yes. If we scan down to the bottom, about how many

- 1 | contacts do we see there for Ms. Greer?
- 2 A. So 146.
- 3 Q. Okay. And can we identify a Chief Conant on there in the
- 4 | list?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. It might take a little looking.
- 7 You do see Chief Conant?
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. Okay. And in your role, did you follow up with the sales
- 10 agent defendants about their plans?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. And after you followed up with the defendant
- 13 | sales agents, what happened?
- 14 A. It was new to have me working on this plan. I read them
- 15 every day, and so I started contacting the account managers
- 16 | to ask them questions about their plans; why an order was
- 17 | sitting there so long and not having been received, those
- 18 | sorts of things. Not only learning, but holding them
- 19 | accountable to keep their plans up-to-date, and there was
- 20 | some that were particularly unfriendly about it. They didn't
- 21 | want to be questioned about it, and they would rather that I
- 22 | would have spoken to Westly. Westly would rather that I
- 23 | spoke to him instead of his people.
- 24 Q. All right. Did you contact Mr. Spires directly?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
1 Q. All right. And after you did that, did you receive a
```

- 2 | call about that from anyone else?
- 3 A. Yes. It was around when I first started doing this that
- 4 | there was a lot of questions about why I needed to be
- 5 | contacting the account managers when, you know, they were
- 6 being managed. Why do I need to contact them?
- 7 Q. All right. And who asked you why you were contacting
- 8 Mr. Spires?
- 9 A. Westly.
- 10 Q. And did Mr. Greer confront you about contacting any other
- 11 sales agents directly?
- 12 A. He said "agents" in plural.
- 13 Q. Okay. Does GMS utilize the data in the sales agent
- 14 | portals in any other ways?
- 15 A. So many ways. We're able to track all of the customers
- 16 | for a particular base, for a particular account manager. We
- 17 | know that they're getting visited regularly and that our --
- 18 THE COURT: I'll get you to slow down just a little
- 19 | bit.
- THE WITNESS: My apologies.
- 21 THE COURT: That's okay.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I get excited.
- 23 THE COURT: That's okay.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I can see that the quality of care is
- 25 | there by knowing that they visited all of the customers and

- 1 | they're making those visits. And they're just creating,
- 2 | it's really relationships, so that's what it signifies. The
- 3 order sheets are invaluable to me because they allow me to
- 4 | project materials coming in. We're able to have our items
- 5 | ready to be shipped, and I also can tell when something is
- 6 | moving more slowly. Or, I mean, it's a plethora of
- 7 information.
- 8 BY MR. GORDON:
- 9 Q. And do you take the sales information and aggregate it
- 10 | into a larger document?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. And who has access to that document?
- 13 A. Very, very few people. I don't like people playing with
- 14 my data.
- 15 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Greer have access to that --
- 16 A. Yes, he did.
- 17 Q. -- data prior to his termination?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. All right. After Mr. Greer was terminated, did he return
- 20 his desktop computer, notebook computer, and tablet to GMS?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. All right. I would like you to look at 113. I believe
- 23 that's in binder 2.
- Do you recognize -- do you recognize this document?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. All right. And what is this?
- 2 A. So, this is a material form. It's a receipt of company
- 3 property that gets issued to any employee of the company that
- 4 | we give any kind of technical material to.
- 5 Q. Okay. Great.
- And if you could scan up so we can see the date on
- 7 | the bottom.
- 8 What's the date on the bottom of this?
- 9 A. 11/30/2017.
- 10 Q. All right. And this was issued when the equipment was
- 11 given to Mr. Greer?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. All right. And it has tracking numbers on it?
- 14 A. It has the serial numbers, yes.
- 15 Q. All right. And is this utilized by GMS in its business?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. All right. And you've seen this before?
- 18 A. Uh-huh. Yes, I have.
- 19 Q. How did you utilize this document?
- 20 A. Excuse me?
- 21 Q. How did you utilize this document?
- 22 | A. We used it to track any material that left GMS offices
- 23 and was being utilized out in the field.
- 24 Q. All right. And is this a true and accurate copy?
- 25 A. Excuse me?

```
Is this a true and accurate copy?
 1
     Q.
         Yes.
 2
     Α.
 3
              MR. GORDON: All right. We'll move for the
     admission of 117.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 6
              MR. MCFARLAND: No objection, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted.
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 117 received in evidence.)
 8
 9
     BY MR. GORDON:
10
        And when Mr. Greer's computers were returned, were they
11
     put in your possession?
12
     Α.
        Yes.
13
        And what did you do after you received them?
14
        They were in my office where I could see them, physically
15
     see them.
16
        Okay. And then did you send them on to someone else?
17
        We took out the account materials that were in there and
18
     left the computers wrapped in paper like they came to me. I
19
     escorted them over to our operations, warehouse operations,
20
     who is a specialist at packaging items, and he shipped them
2.1
     to BDO.
22
        Okay.
               When you say you removed some materials, you mean
2.3
     you removed some materials from the packaging, not from --
24
              MR. MCFARLAND: Objection, Your Honor. Wait.
     Whoa. Objection. I've let the leading go, but that is a
25
```

- 1 leading question. I am going to object. The witness ought
- 2 to tell us what she did, not Mr. Gordon.
- 3 THE COURT: It's leading. It's okay. All right.
- 4 It's leading. So, I think it is leading. The objection is
- 5 sustained.
- 6 I'll just ask you to rephrase.
- 7 MR. GORDON: All right.
- 8 BY MR. GORDON:
- 9 Q. You stated you -- did you remove some items from a
- 10 | package that you received from Mr. Greer?
- 11 A. There were GMS polos and other sample materials that were
- 12 | in the box with the computers, and I separated those out.
- 13 Q. Did you remove any data from the computer?
- 14 A. I did not unwrap the paper off of the computer. I didn't
- 15 do anything with the computers.
- 16 Q. All right. As the marketing manager and with your
- 17 | continuing IT duties, do you have access to GMS Industrial's
- 18 | Google vault?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. All right. And was a search of that Google vault
- 21 | conducted after the existence of G&S Supply was discovered?
- 22 A. Yes, hours and hours and hours of search in the vault.
- 23 | Q. Did you participate in that search?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. All right. And what did you do to carry out that search?

```
A. We, myself in particular, picked up keywords, you know,
 1
 2
     starting out with a G&S email address and then moving on from
 3
     there. Every one that I found, I found more keywords, and I
     was able to create a list of keywords to look through the
 4
    hundreds, maybe hundreds of thousands of emails that we have
 6
     in our vault.
 7
     Q. All right. And did you discover emails that had GMS
     Industrial email addresses that were requests for G&S Supply
 8
 9
    products?
10
    A. Yes, I did.
11
        I would like to ask you if you could look at Exhibit 107,
12
     and that should be in binder 2.
1.3
             Is this one of those documents that you identified in
14
     your search?
15
    A. Yes, it is.
16
              MR. MCFARLAND: There is an objection to this
17
     exhibit, Your Honor, that's still under advisement.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Okay.
19
              MR. GORDON: Should we take it up?
20
              THE COURT: Sure. Yes. Headsets. Headsets.
2.1
              (Sidebar conference as follows.)
              MR. GORDON: Can you hear me, Your Honor?
22
23
              THE COURT: Okay. Can everybody hear me?
                                                         Thumbs
24
     up if you can.
              All right, Mr. McFarland. Your objection to this
25
```

```
document?
 1
 2
              And can you state the document number again,
 3
     Plaintiff, Plaintiff's Counsel?
              MR. GORDON: Yeah. I believe it's 107, Plaintiff's
 4
 5
     Exhibit 107.
 6
              THE COURT:
                         107, okay.
 7
              Yes, Mr. McFarland. I'll hear you.
 8
              MR. MCFARLAND: Yes, Your Honor. We object to this
     document on the grounds of hearsay. In fact, it's double
 9
10
     hearsay. It's not authored by anyone at GMS. It's authored
11
     -- well, the authors are William G. Long, who is apparently
12
     a military officer, senior auto warrant officer. And there
13
     is communications between Mr. Long, a Miss Wagner at the
14
     U.S. Army as well, and Mr. Spires. And it appears to be --
15
     I'm not exactly sure what it's being offered for, but it
16
     appears to be offered for the truth of the matter of what's
17
     in here. It is not a business record, obviously, of GMS.
18
     And the fact that they found it on their drive doesn't make
19
     it admissible as an exhibit.
20
              THE COURT: All right.
2.1
              Plaintiff.
22
              MR. GORDON: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
              Factually, so we've got an email here from a
24
     customer here with the military. Mr. Spires is the
     recipient of the top email and also a recipient at the top
25
```

of page 2.

We discussed yesterday that there is admissibility for the limited purpose of confusion. Here we have a G&S request for parts. It's got a G&S part number that is sent to a GMS email address. So it's evidence of confusion.

And additionally, this is part of the response to the notice prior to filing the suit on April 3rd. If you look, it has the April 3rd date in the top left-hand corner.

But we did some research. So the Fourth Circuit, Benedi versus McNeil-P.P.C., Inc., this is a Fourth Circuit 1995, 66 F.3d 1378.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GORDON: And in that case, they said, "We find the district court did not abuse its discretion in admitting the DERs and case summary, because the plaintiff offered the evidence solely to prove notice. In addition, the district judge properly gave a limiting instruction to the jury that it could only consider the DER and case summaries as evidence of notice."

So, we're offering this as notice of G&S' dealings. The DERs in this case were drug experience reports, and this was a case about the interaction of Tylenol and alcohol, so evidence of other interactions was admissible for the purpose of notice.

So, we would move to admit this document on the

```
grounds of notice and based on evidence of confusion. And we would move that this should be admitted on those bases. And if the Court finds it appropriate to issue a limiting instruction, certainly that would be agreeable.
```

THE COURT: So, what is your evidence with respect to this email about confusion? Who in this email says they're confused about whether it's GMS or G&S, or somebody thinking that they're dealing with GMS, but they're actually ordering a G&S product?

MR. GORDON: Yes, Your Honor. So, we've had testimony already about the part numbers.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GORDON: And if we look about two-thirds of the way down on page 1, it says, "I did another one." And the subject is "Order". So, he is saying, "I did another order with a G&S part number." And that gets forwarded to Chief William Long, and Chief William Long then sends it to Mr. Spires. So, we've got essentially a follow-up on a G&S product to a GMS Industrial email address. So that's the evidence of confusion. These people are coming to GMS email addresses and saying, you know, Here is my order.

THE COURT: Right. So, with respect to the confusion argument, I understand what you're saying, but I think there needs to be some other evidence to kind of round that out. So, I'm not saying that you can't bring it in on

confusion. I don't think you can bring it in now based on what I've heard. So, on that ground, the objection is sustained.

2.1

2.2

2.3

Did you have another ground that you were seeking to move it in on?

MR. GORDON: The other one was notice. That was the Fourth Circuit case that I had just read. And there is a companioning Western District of North Carolina that talks about evidence; hearsay evidence can be admitted for the purpose of notice.

In that other case, Silicon Knights, Inc. versus Epic Games, Inc., there was a purchase of some software by one company, and it delayed the delivery of their products. And they offered other complaints by other users of this same software as evidence of notice that the software was insufficient.

And the Court stated, "When evidence is offered for the limited purpose of proving notice or state of mind, it is not hearsay. Thus, Silicon may offer evidence of complaints that other licensees made before May 10th for the limited purpose of showing Epic's notice and state of mind."

And this is the issue that was raised on Mr. Gorken's cross. What notice and what state of mind did you have before you went terminating my clients? That was the argument that was posited. And this is a direct

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
response to that. It shows what notice, what state of mind
they had. We put in the emails, and the witness would
testify that they were provided to Mr. Gorken.
         THE COURT: All right.
        Mr. McFarland, on the issue of notice.
        MR. MCFARLAND: Yeah. There is nothing in this
document that pertains to notice whatsoever. The email
exchange is from January 2019. There is not a dispute that
as of April 3rd they had notice of my clients, or at least
two of my clients, Spires and Greer, with respect to G&S
Supply. We've never questioned that.
        My questioning yesterday of Mr. Gorken was what did
he have evidence of for Sabrina Greer, Thomas Hayes, Mike
Welton.
        That's a different matter.
         But this document is being offered for the truth of
the matter about -- through double hearsay of communications
with warrant officers in the Army. The Court has already
ruled on the confusion, but, also, this document doesn't
provide any evidence of notice.
                    Thank you, Mr. McFarland.
         THE COURT:
```

What is the evidence of notice in this document? So show me what the notice portion of it is.

MR. GORDON: The notice portion is the date, the 4/3/2019 date, in the upper left-hand corner. So, she testified about how they did this search once they found out

```
about G&S. Mr. Gorken testified about this as well.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: All right.
 3
              MR. GORDON: So, they did the search, and then they
     got notice of these G&S sales by GMS account
 4
 5
     representatives, and I've got two that have got Sabrina
 6
     Greer.
 7
              MR. MCFARLAND: But that's not at issue, Your
 8
     Honor. It's not at issue that they --
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Just a minute.
10
              So, Plaintiff, what is it that you want to -- if I
11
     did allow you to introduce this, what is it that you want to
12
     ask this witness?
1.3
              MR. GORDON: Yeah. So, we laid the foundation for
14
     the investigation. We asked her if she found emails
15
     requesting G&S supplies for -- sent to GMS asking for G&S
16
     Supply materials. Then I'm going to ask her: Is this one
17
     of the emails that you received? What did you do with it
18
     after you found it? And she will testify, I forwarded it to
19
    Mr. Greer.
20
              THE COURT: Okay.
21
              MR. GORDON: I mean, not Mr. Greer. Mr. Gorken.
22
              THE COURT:
                          So, I think I'm going to sustain the
23
     objection in part and overrule it in part in this way. I'm
24
     going to allow you to ask her about it. At this time, I'm
25
     not going to allow you to introduce it, but I think there is
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
a basis, based on what you've argued, for you to be able to
introduce it. I just think I need a little bit more either
on the notice issue or on the confusion issue. I think you
have dual ways to introduce it, actually triple ways to
introduce it. Because although Mr. McFarland raised that
there is hearsay within hearsay contained within the
document, which is true -- which is why I was reading it --
that doesn't necessarily keep it out. There is a way to
also get that in. So there is three bases for you to be
able to get it in. I just don't think you've gotten there
yet. But I will allow you to show her the document and
question her in the way that you've just laid out. All
right?
         MR. GORDON: Thank you.
         MR. MCFARLAND: Well, Your Honor, my concern is
that if he questions her about the contents of the document,
he is backdooring --
         THE COURT: I didn't say the contents of the
document.
         MR. MCFARLAND: Okay.
         THE COURT: I'm talking about what she did with the
document when she found the document, what she related --
exactly what he said. He didn't say anything about the
contents of the document when I asked him what he was going
to ask her about.
```

- 1 All right. Thank you. Let's go.
- 2 (End of sidebar conference.)
- 3 BY MR. GORDON:
- 4 Q. All right. When we left off, Ms. Rupley, I think we were
- 5 discussing an investigation of GMS' Google vault that you
- 6 participated in.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. All right. Do you still have Exhibit 107 in front of
- 9 you?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. All right. And what date did you uncover this document?
- 12 A. Very, very soon after everything started. I don't know
- 13 | if that 4/3 means anything on there, but it was very shortly
- 14 after the discovery of G&S.
- 15 Q. And is this Exhibit 107 one of the documents that you
- 16 discovered in that investigation?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. All right. And after you located it, did you forward it
- 19 to Mr. Gorken?
- 20 A. I downloaded it as a PDF, and I shared it with Chris
- 21 | Morton, Gary Gorken, and Renee Robichaux, our team.
- 22 | Q. All right. And who is the recipient of this email?
- 23 A. The recipient was Greg Spires.
- 24 Q. All right. And why did you flag this email?
- 25 A. Because GMS part numbers began with GMS or GM. These

- 1 | part numbers began with GS, and it had the cage code for G&S
- 2 on the orders.
- 3 Q. Thank you.
- If you could move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 103. It
- 5 | should be in that same binder. Are you with me at Exhibit
- 6 | 103?
- 7 A. Now I am, yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. Was this another email that you uncovered during
- 9 your search?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. All right. And it would have been in that same time
- 12 frame?
- 13 A. Yes, it would.
- 14 Q. All right. And was this also forwarded to that same
- group, or placed in a PDF and sent to that same group?
- MR. MCFARLAND: Objection to the leading, Your
- 17 Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. MCFARLAND: Literally, Mr. Gordon is --
- THE COURT: You've made your objection. I don't
- 21 | need the rest of it.
- 22 Objection sustained.
- 23 Rephrase your question.
- 24 BY MR. GORDON:
- 25 Q. What did you do with this email after you found it?

- 1 A. I did like I did with all of them. I printed it to PDF.
- 2 | I forwarded it to the group. And by this time, we were
- 3 getting a number of emails, so we started building a folder
- 4 on our Google drive, and that folder is shared between the
- 5 | team of us that were doing the discovery.
- 6 Q. Who was the recipient of this email?
- 7 A. Sabrina Greer.
- 8 Q. All right. And what's the email address?
- 9 A. Sabrina.Greer@gmsindustrialsupply.com.
- 10 Q. So that's a GMS company email address?
- 11 A. GMS, correct.
- 12 Q. Yes. And why did you include this email with those that
- 13 | you brought to everyone else's attention?
- 14 A. You would have to scroll down a little bit, but I believe
- 15 this is another one of the ones that had the part numbers
- 16 | from -- yes, the GS2201 and that whole line of the part
- 17 | numbers there.
- 18 MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, this is what I was
- 19 | concerned about. We're now getting into the hearsay.
- 20 THE COURT: We're not going to discuss the
- 21 particulars of the email.
- MR. GORDON: Okay.
- 23 BY MR. GORDON:
- 24 | Q. If you could flip to Plaintiff's Exhibit 104. Is this
- 25 | another email that you uncovered in your investigation?

- 1 A. Yes, it is.
- 2 | Q. All right. And what did you do with this email after you
- 3 | discovered it?
- 4 A. Printed it to PDF, shared it with my team, and put it in
- 5 our folder.
- 6 Q. Okay. All right. Let's go on to Plaintiff's
- 7 Exhibit 105.
- 8 All right. And did you uncover this document as part
- 9 of your investigation?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. All right. And who is the recipient email for
- 12 | Exhibit 105?
- 13 A. Mike.Welton@gmsindustrialsupply.com.
- 14 Q. So if it ends in @gmsindustrialsupply.com, that's a GMS
- 15 | company email address?
- 16 A. That's my domain, yes.
- 17 Q. All right. And this was in GMS' Google vault?
- 18 A. Yes, it was.
- 19 Q. All right. And what did you do after you discovered this
- 20 email?
- 21 A. I printed it to PDF, shared it with my team, and put it
- 22 | in the folder.
- 23 Q. All right. Ms. Rupley, if you could go ahead and look at
- 24 | Plaintiff's 258. It's going to be in binder 4.
- 25 All right. Ms. Rupley, I think you testified earlier

```
that you deal with these award forms in performance of your
 1
 2
     job?
 3
    Α.
        Yes.
     Q. All right. And are some of G&S Supply's awards on this
 5
     same document?
 6
        Yes.
    Α.
 7
     Q. Okay. Number 3, in the field at number 3, it says "Date
     of Order" --
 8
 9
              MR. MCFARLAND: I'm not able to see the document.
10
              THE CLERK: I don't have 258, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: We don't have 258.
12
             MR. GORDON: Okay.
13
              MR. LASCARA: 258?
14
              MR. GORDON: Yeah. This is --
15
              Your Honor, do you need our copy?
16
              THE COURT: I've got something in 258.
17
              MR. GORDON: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                               Ι
18
     apologize.
19
              THE COURT: Does it say "Order For Supplies or
20
     Services" at the top?
              MR. GORDON: Correct. And it has a Bates name Fort
2.1
22
     Sill 116 in the top right-hand corner.
2.3
              THE COURT: Okay. Yes. I have that.
24
              MR. GORDON: Thank you.
25
              THE COURT: I have it. The courtroom deputy
```

- 1 | doesn't have it.
- 2 All right. Go ahead.
- 3 BY MR. GORDON:
- 4 Q. So, in the top of that document in Box 3 is a Date of
- 5 Order/Call. What is the date that goes in that box?
- 6 A. That's the date the material was awarded by DLA.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what do you mean by awarded?
- 8 | A. There is a whole process to getting an award. I don't
- 9 | want to drag it all out, but when the account manager is in
- 10 | the field and is with a customer, and the customer decides
- 11 | they need to procure material, they will put the order -- put
- 12 | the material in their GCSS Army system. This is for Army
- 13 | customers. And it will -- if it's not an NSN item, it is a
- 14 | non-NSN item. It will go to DLA for solicitation. And when
- 15 | they have done their due diligence on that solicitation, they
- 16 | award the material to a company.
- 17 Q. Okay. If we go about a third of the way down, there is a
- 18 | Box 16, Type of Order. Are you with me?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And in the middle of that, it says "Reference Your
- 21 Offer/Quote," dated 2018 December 28.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. What is that the date of?
- 24 A. The solicitation that came out for that order.
- 25 Q. All right. And who issues the solicitation?

- 1 A. Excuse me?
- 2 | Q. Who issues the solicitation?
- 3 A. DLA.
- 4 Q. DLA, okay.
- Now, there is another date if we go further back here
- 6 on to page 5 of 5. So there is a row that starts with M/F.
- 7 | Is there a date in that column?
- 8 A. Yes, there is.
- 9 | Q. All right. And can you explain it?
- 10 A. The military uses a Julian date, and it counts the days
- 11 of the year. And of course, that's going to change year by
- 12 | year because of leap years and other such things. And so the
- 13 | first six digits in the document number that you're looking
- 14 | at -- they also call it a TCN number. We call it a doc
- 15 | number -- is the DoDAAC, which is like the address for the
- 16 | buyer of the material, and then the second four digits are
- 17 | the Julian date.
- 18 Q. What numbers are the Julian date on here?
- 19 A. The Julian date would be 835 -- yes. Thank you -- 8354.
- 20 Q. What does the 8 signify?
- 21 A. It would be '18 because you add a 1 to the front of it.
- 22 | It would be 2018.
- 23 Q. Yeah. Okay.
- And the 354, how does that correspond to a date?
- 25 A. It would be the 354th day of the year.

- Q. Okay. And what happens on this date?
- 2 A. That's the date that they push the order to their SPO,
- 3 | their budgeting department, and it allows funds to be applied
- 4 to the order, money.

- $5 \mid Q$ . What happens after they allow the funds to be applied?
- 6 A. Once the funds are applied, then DLA can actually see the
- 7 order. Before it's just kind of like a vapor. It's just a
- 8 | thought until the money goes behind it, and then it's an
- 9 actual -- it becomes an order.
- 10 Q. All right. And so what happens between this date that we
- 11 just looked at and the first date that we looked at, at the
- 12 | top in number 3, which in this document is 2019, April 5th?
- 13 A. There is a lot in the process, but once this gets funding
- 14 | behind it and it goes national --
- MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, I'm going to object. I
- 16 understand that the witness has experience with DLA forms
- 17 | and awards, but now she's testifying as to what DLA did, and
- 18 | that's improper. There is not a foundation for that that
- 19 has been laid. The witness' testimony is the award date
- 20 | was, I think -- I don't have it exactly memorized, but
- 21 | something in April of 2019. That's the award date. She
- 22 | says that's when it was awarded.
- 23 THE COURT: Right. But she's explaining the rest
- 24 of the document.
- So, you answer the objection. Go ahead.

```
1 MR. GORDON: Yeah. This is a part of her job.
2 They deal with this in their job. They get these awards.
3 They understand the process.
4 THE COURT: Objection overruled. Objection
```

- 6 Go ahead.
- 7 BY MR. GORDON:

overruled.

- Q. Does one of these dates correspond with when the sale gets pushed out to the DIBBS Board?
- 10 A. So, when it gets pushed out to the DIBBS board, the DLA
- 11 | team will push out a solicitation that there -- it's a
- 12 request for quote. They want other companies. They want
- 13 | vendors to put in their bids on these items so that the
- 14 government gets the best possible price and the best possible
- 15 material to support the warfighter.
- 16 Q. So the date that it goes out to the DIBBS Board would be
- 17 | the number in 16 that you described as the solicitation?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. All right. And what do all of these dates tell us about
- 20 when the agent provided a quote to the customer?
- 21 A. It doesn't, because that happens before all of this.
- 22 | This is a result of that quote given to the customer.
- MR. GORDON: Your Honor, we're going to move for the admission of 258.
- THE COURT: All right. Any objection?

```
MR. MCFARLAND: Yes, Your Honor.
 1
 2
              The testimony is that the award date here was past
 3
     April 3rd of 2019. And the witness just said she doesn't
     know even when the solicitation was made by the sales agent.
 4
 5
     It's not on this document. So the only evidence is --
 6
              THE COURT: Right. But your objection is if it was
 7
     after April of 2019, correct?
 8
              MR. MCFARLAND: Correct, and it was.
 9
              THE COURT: This is before April of 2019, isn't it?
10
              MR. MCFARLAND: No. No. The award date is after
11
    April 3rd of 2019.
12
              THE COURT: The award date. But her testimony was,
13
     I believe, was that anything that the agent did preceded
14
     April of 2019. So once the agent has done his work, it goes
15
     in.
16
              So the objection is overruled. It's coming in.
17
              All right. Let's go ahead.
18
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 258 received in evidence.)
19
    BY MR. GORDON:
20
        All right. If you could come with me to 279.
2.1
              THE CLERK: I don't have this one either.
2.2
              THE COURT: You don't have 279?
2.3
              MR. GORDON: Yes.
24
              THE COURT: We don't have 279. When I say we, I
25
    mean my courtroom deputy, who is the important person
```

```
because she's putting your exhibits into evidence.
 1
 2
    BY MR. GORDON:
        All right. Ms. Rupley, are you with me on Exhibit 279?
 3
    Α.
        Yes.
     Q. All right. And what is the number 16 reference here,
 6
    Offer/Quote Date?
 7
        That would be April 4th, 2019.
     O. And what is the Julian date?
 8
 9
              MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
10
     Even the offered date here is after April 2019.
11
              THE COURT: Yeah. So, I'm going to have them blow
12
     it up or something because I'm having trouble seeing it.
1.3
     Let me look.
14
              MR. LASCARA: Could we put it on the document
15
     camera? Would that help?
16
              THE COURT: I'll just look at it. Hold on.
17
              So, Mr. McFarland, is your objection that the
     information that's in Box 16 is prior to 2019?
18
19
              MR. MCFARLAND: It's --
20
              THE COURT: Or are you dealing with Box 3?
21
              MR. MCFARLAND: I think even Box 16, Your Honor. I
22
     understand the Court's ruling on Box 3, if you will.
23
              THE COURT: Right.
24
              MR. MCFARLAND: But Box 16 is post April 3rd, 2019,
25
     as well. In other words, my clients had already been
```

```
1
     terminated by the time this was even offered.
              THE COURT: Which exhibit is this?
 2
 3
              MR. MCFARLAND: 279, I think is what the plaintiffs
     are offering, Your Honor. It's their exhibit, but...
 4
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Okay. This one is not
 6
     going to come in. All right. So go to the next one.
 7
              MR. GORDON: Okay.
    BY MR. GORDON:
 8
 9
     0. 281.
10
             Are you with us, Ms. Rupley?
11
        Yeah. Uh-huh.
    Α.
12
        Okay. What is the Box 16 date on this DLA award?
     Ο.
1.3
        May 3rd, 2018.
14
        Are you on 281?
     Q.
15
        281?
    Α.
    Q. Yeah.
16
17
    A. That's what I have for 281. It's -- I don't know how
18
     else to identify it for you. I can give you the agreement
19
     number. It does not match what you have on your screen.
20
              THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I'm
21
     going to excuse you to the jury room for a minute.
22
              (The jury exited the courtroom at 3:01 p.m.)
23
              THE CLERK: May I go grab something from the
24
    printer real quick?
25
              THE COURT: Yes. Just one second.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
So, I think part of the confusion is that when I
asked you-all to meet with the courtroom deputy, she pulled
the ones out that weren't coming in, and it seems now you're
trying to put those in; is that correct?
        MR. GORDON: That's correct, Your Honor, yes.
         THE COURT: All right. So, why didn't you say that
when you had your meeting with her?
        MR. GORDON: My apologies, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: All right.
        Ms. Jones, do you need to do something? Do you
need me to take a break?
         THE CLERK: No, that's okay.
        THE COURT: Are you sure?
        THE CLERK: Yeah.
        THE COURT: All right. So we don't have any of
these. And just to make it, you know, go a little more
smoothly, if the date on that line 16, or like she explained
is before April 3rd of 2019, then I'm going to allow it
with, you know, the continuing objection I guess from
Mr. McFarland. If it's not, I don't think we have to waste
time on that.
        MR. GORDON: Your Honor, I'm only doing this for
the purpose of getting them in the record. So, if we can go
back and review those dates and then supply them.
         THE COURT: Do you object to that? I know you had
```

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
the objection originally, but do you object if he moves en
masse these ones in that occurred, you know, based on my
ruling on line 16, before April of 2019, preserving your
objection?
        MR. MCFARLAND: Right. No, I don't. Preserving,
no, I don't. Here is part of my problem though, Your
Honor -- and I think I said this before the lunch break --
is this witness has just said the document that she was
looking at that they said is 281 wasn't what she's got at
281. So, we've got two problems here. We've got my concern
about anything post April 3rd, 2019. And I appreciate, I
understand and appreciate the Court's ruling that if it's in
Box 3, I lost that one.
         THE COURT: Right.
        MR. MCFARLAND: On Box 16, I won.
        THE COURT: Right.
        MR. MCFARLAND: I get that. But I've got another
concern, and I say this -- and I am not trying to be
disparaging. Plaintiffs don't have a very good handle on
their own documents and exhibits.
         THE COURT: But that's why -- I understand that.
        MR. MCFARLAND: So there is real confusion.
         THE COURT: So, I understand that. But I guess I
want to keep moving. So, if you don't have an objection to
it, we can sort that out later.
```

```
1
              MR. MCFARLAND: Okay.
 2
              THE COURT: We can sort that out.
 3
              MR. MCFARLAND: Okay. Long before it goes back, I
 4
     get a chance to look.
 5
              THE COURT: Yes. Yes.
 6
              MR. MCFARLAND: Because the way they've numbered
 7
     these and renumbered them --
 8
              THE COURT: Yes. Yes.
 9
              MR. MCFARLAND: -- I'm concerned that what's going
10
     to go back is even post April 3rd in Box 16.
11
              THE COURT: So, that's my ruling. I'm going to
12
     allow you-all to work that out at another time so we don't
1.3
     keep the jury waiting, and then I will hear any objections,
14
     if there is objections that it's not a correct document or
15
     anything like that.
16
              MR. MCFARLAND: I appreciate that, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: Let's move along. And let's bring the
18
     jury back. Thank you.
19
              (The jury entered the courtroom at 3:04 p.m.)
20
              THE COURT: All right. Please continue with your
2.1
     examination.
2.2
     BY MR. GORDON:
2.3
     Q. Ms. Rupley, if you could look at Plaintiff's 259 with me,
24
     and that is in plaintiff's binder 4.
25
     A. Yes.
```

- 1 Q. All right. And if we flip to the second page of this
- 2 award, is there an area that identifies the part number?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. All right. And what's the part number that's listed in
- 5 | the award here?
- 6 A. That would be GS1022.
- 7 Q. All right. And is there a description of what that part
- 8 is?
- 9 A. It -- they rarely have a description for the item.
- 10 Q. All right. And in the sales histories that we looked at
- 11 | with the sales agent portals, does GMS ask its sales agents
- 12 to track more than just the part number?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. All right. What other information does GMS Industrial
- 15 track in its internal records?
- 16 A. We ask them to track the part number, the nomenclature,
- 17 | the costing -- I mean the pricing on it, the customer, the --
- 18 | I can go on. It's, like, 26 boxes.
- 19 Q. And that includes the product description?
- 20 A. That includes the product description, yeah. It's very
- 21 difficult to memorize a whole list of just part numbers.
- MR. GORDON: All right. Thank you.
- You can answer whatever questions Mr. McFarland
- 24 has.
- THE COURT: All right. Mr. McFarland, any cross?

- 1 MR. MCFARLAND: Yes, Your Honor.
- 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. MCFARLAND:
- 4 Q. Good afternoon, ma'am. I'm Robert McFarland. I
- 5 represent all of the defendants in this case.
- And do I understand, ma'am, that you are based in the
- 7 | San Diego office?
- 8 A. Yes, I am.
- 9 Q. And have you always worked in the San Diego office?
- 10 A. Yes, I have.
- 11 Q. Okay. And I may have missed it, but did I follow that
- 12 | you joined GMS approximately six and a half years ago?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. All right. Mr. Greer was already the director of sales
- 15 | for GMS at the time that you joined, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And the charts that we've been looking at, do we
- 18 | call them portals? Is that the name for them?
- 19 A. Data portals.
- 20 Q. Data portals?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Are you aware that he in effect created those
- 23 portals after he joined GMS?
- 24 A. I don't have any knowledge of that.
- 25 Q. And you would agree with me that the information that's

- 1 | in the portals is information that the sales agents or their
- 2 | supervisor is inputting, correct?
- 3 A. The agents supply the information, correct.
- 4 Q. Mr. Greer went from being a director of sales through
- 5 | 2018, to becoming an independent sales agent in January of
- 6 | 2019, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You're aware of that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Did he retain authority to access the people that
- 11 | he supervised, their portals?
- 12 A. He retained authority over their portals.
- 13 Q. During the rest of his tenure through April 3rd of 2019?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding -- because I
- 16 understand you are involved in sales and marketing among the
- 17 | many hats that you wear?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding that his same sales
- 20 agents that he had been supervising for his territory
- 21 | continued to report to him, and he continued to supervise
- 22 | them during this period?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. For a non-NSN or a -- what sometimes has been referred to
- 25 | in this trial as CCPNs, is that a term you're familiar with,

- 1 | Cage Code Part Number?
- 2 | A. Yes, Cage and Part Number, correct.
- 3 | Q. Okay. For the CCPN sales that are made on the DIBBS
- 4 Board, the ultimate price is public knowledge, correct?
- 5 A. The awarded price.
- 6 Q. Public knowledge?
- 7 A. The quoted price, no.
- 8 Q. I didn't ask about the quoted price.
- 9 The awarded price, that's public knowledge. When the
- 10 | award is actually awarded to the contractor, whoever it is,
- 11 | that's public information?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Okay. That can be obtained by anyone who goes to the
- 14 DIBBS Board, and they can go in and -- what? Today is
- June 2nd. So, if someone wanted to find out what had been
- 16 awarded on the DIBBS Board on June 1st, they could go in,
- 17 | access it, and see what was sold through the DIBBS Board on
- 18 | June 1st and at what prices, correct?
- 19 A. If it was not September, because they get rid of them.
- 20 Q. Right. My hypothetical was -- I'm trying to keep it easy
- 21 | in my mind. Today is June 2nd. If someone wanted to see
- 22 | what was awarded on the DIBBS Board yesterday, June 1st --
- 23 A. Absolutely.
- 24 Q. Absolutely. Public information?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
Okay. And I also take it that a contractor may put in a
 1
 2
    bid for an item, but when it goes to the DIBBS Board, anyone
 3
     who is eligible to bid through DIBBS can bid on that item,
 4
    right?
    A. Correct.
 6
        Okay. So it isn't always the case that whoever puts in
 7
     the original bid necessarily --
 8
              MR. GORDON: Objection. This is beyond the scope
 9
     of her direct.
10
              MR. MCFARLAND: Oh, no. This is directly --
11
              THE COURT: Okay.
12
              MR. MCFARLAND: -- related to --
13
              THE COURT: All right. Hold on. He says beyond
14
     the scope. Why is it not beyond the scope?
15
              MR. MCFARLAND: Ms. Rupley testified at length
16
     about the DIBBS process, and the bidding process, and how
17
     the award process went through, and I am completing that so
18
     the jurors can have complete information about the process.
19
              THE COURT: All right. Your objection. I'll give
20
     you the last word.
2.1
              MR. GORDON: Yeah. Her testimony was just relating
22
     to what happened between two dates relative to what happened
2.3
     on the award. It wasn't related to everything that goes on,
24
     on the DIBBS Board.
25
              THE COURT: All right. It's cross-examination.
                                                               He
```

- 1 gets some latitude. So, it's overruled.
- 2 BY MR. MCFARLAND:
- 3 Q. So, it could be that whoever ends up actually winning the
- 4 award is not the original contractor who put in the bid,
- 5 | correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And I take it, ma'am, that for the non-NSN sales, it's
- 8 | the government, whether it's the chief warrant officer or the
- 9 government procurement officer, they're the ones who are
- 10 | inputting both the seller, vendor number, and the part
- 11 | number, correct?
- 12 A. The person ordering --
- 13 O. Yes.
- 14 A. -- the material puts in --
- 15 Q. From the government.
- 16 A. Yes. Well, yeah, the Army customer or whoever orders the
- 17 | material.
- 18 Q. Right. So, for example, at Fort Sill, let's say the
- 19 person ordering on behalf of the government, the chief
- 20 | warrant officer, he's the one who is not only going to, but
- 21 has to input the vendor number and the Cage Code Part Number?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. The agent, sales agent can't do that?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. That would be a violation of government regulations,

- 1 | correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Okay. And if I followed you on the agent portals, you're
- 4 | encouraging the agents to provide as much information as they
- 5 | can in terms of who they're dealing with, who they're
- 6 | speaking with, and what they're ordering?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. And that's agent input, sales agent input?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And so, for example, with -- I think the first portal we
- 11 looked at was Thomas Hayes, that what we saw, those comments,
- 12 | that's Thomas Hayes doing an excellent job for GMS on
- 13 | inputting the information that he's getting from Fort Polk,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. I'm not going to agree that -- but Thomas was inputting
- 16 | it, correct.
- 17 Q. Right. Thomas inputted a lot of information?
- 18 A. He did.
- 19 Q. Thomas, I'll -- since they're my clients, I can pick on
- 20 them. Thomas was sure better about inputting information
- 21 | than Greg Spires?
- 22 A. Absolutely.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. 100 percent.
- MR. MCFARLAND: And if we could go to Plaintiff's

```
Exhibit 99, please.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: All right.
 3
              MR. MCFARLAND: And I think this is admitted, Your
    Honor, so I would ask that it be published.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: All right.
 6
              MR. MCFARLAND: Ms. Jones, may we?
 7
              THE CLERK: Got it.
 8
              MR. MCFARLAND: Thank you. Again, obviously way
 9
    beyond my skill set.
10
    BY MR. MCFARLAND:
11
     Q. So, ma'am, if we're looking -- do you have 99 in front of
12
    vou there?
1.3
        Yes.
14
     Q. Okay. You were asked about this at the beginning of your
15
     direct exam by Mr. Gordon?
16
    A. Correct.
17
    Q. And what I understand this to be, but please correct me
18
     if I'm misstating, this seems to be a series of
19
     communications. Are they emails or texts? I can't quite
20
    tell. Or is it a chat room?
2.1
    A. It's a chat. It's a chat group.
22
        I know a little bit about that, not much, but anyway.
2.3
             So it's a chat. And what Mr. Hayes is doing here is
24
    he is -- first of all, the product that he's initially asking
25
     for where there is pink towels in the kit, and his client
```

- 1 | wants white towels, that I take it is a non-NSN, but it's a
- 2 | CCPN kit, if it had pink towels, that GMS offers?
- 3 A. Um --
- 4 MR. GORDON: Objection, ambiguous. That was a long
- 5 question.
- 6 THE COURT: It was.
- 7 MR. MCFARLAND: Let me see if I can rephrase.
- 8 THE COURT: Ask your question again.
- 9 BY MR. MCFARLAND:
- 10 Q. What Mr. Hayes is referring to, the first product here
- 11 | that he's looking to sell would be a non-NSN, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And it would be a CCPN kit that GMS has, but they have it
- 14 | with pink towels and not white towels?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. So this is an inquiry from him about selling a GMS
- 17 | product on August 9th of 2018?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. And then he even goes down below, and the second
- 20 | thing he's asking is, "Do we sell" -- and the we is obviously
- 21 GMS --
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. -- "shoes?"
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. And he's saying, "I know we sell boots." But he wants to

- 1 | know, "Do we sell shoes?" Because he has got a customer
- 2 interested in what I take has got to be a non-NSN.
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And not even a CCPN kit, right?
- 5 A. An item.
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. So he's asking in August of 2018, can GMS do this?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Yeah. This isn't some email you found when you looked
- 11 under G&S, right, when you did the search --
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q.  $\rightarrow$  terms for G&S?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. This is Mr. Hayes, in August of 2018, trying to make
- 16 | sales for GMS?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 MR. MCFARLAND: Thank you very much, Ms. Rupley.
- 19 | That's all of the questions I have.
- THE WITNESS: You are welcome.
- 21 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- MR. GORDON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
- 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. GORDON:
- 25 Q. Ms. Rupley, Mr. McFarland asked you about pricing on the

```
1 DIBBS Board, correct?
```

- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Does the DIBBS Board include a final price of the sale?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. All right. Is that the same amount that GMS Industrial
- 6 gets paid for the sale?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Is there any markup by DLA?
- 9 MR. MCFARLAND: Objection, Your Honor. He didn't
- 10 like the answer, but it's been asked and answered.
- 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
- MR. GORDON: I didn't even finish the next
- 13 question.
- 14 THE COURT: Right. I know --
- MR. MCFARLAND: I know what the next question is.
- 16 He didn't like that answer.
- 17 THE COURT: You're telepathic? Let's let him ask
- 18 | the next question and then see if it's objectionable.
- 19 Go ahead.
- 20 BY MR. GORDON:
- 21 Q. When GMS Industrial makes a sale through the GCSS portal,
- 22 | does it include any markups?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. All right. And are any of those markups external to GMS
- 25 Industrial?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. All right. And whose markup is it?
- 3 A. It would be DLA's charges for freight and other such
- 4 things on an order.
- 5 Q. All right. And who is responsible for paying for that?
- 6 A. The customer pays for that.
- 7 Q. All right. And does GMS Industrial get any of that
- 8 portion of the DLA markup?
- 9 A. No, never, no.
- 10 Q. And is that amount included in the price that's displayed
- 11 on the DIBBS Board?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Is it included in the total that's on the DIBBS Board?
- 14 A. No.
- MR. GORDON: All right. Thank you.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. May this witness be
- 17 | excused?
- 18 MR. GORDON: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: All right, Mr. McFarland?
- MR. MCFARLAND: Yes, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, you may be excused.
- 22 You can remain in the courtroom, or you're free to leave.
- 23 | Thank you very much.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. This seems to be a perfect

```
time for us to take our afternoon recess.
 1
 2
              So, ma'am, if you could just stay seated for just a
 3
     second. I'm sorry.
 4
              THE WITNESS: My apologies.
 5
              THE COURT: So, jury, I'm going to let you go back
 6
     for your afternoon recess. We'll reconvene at 3:35.
 7
              (The jury exited the courtroom at 3:21 p.m.)
              THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess until
 8
     3:35.
 9
10
              And then, Plaintiffs, have your next witness in the
11
     courtroom when we come back so we can go ahead and swear
12
     them and get started. Okay?
13
              All right. We'll stand in recess.
14
              (Court stood in recess from 3:22 p.m. to 3:36 p.m.)
15
              THE COURT: All right. Plaintiff, call your next
16
     witness.
17
              (The witness was duly sworn.)
18
              DANIELLE RENEE ROBICHAUX, called by the Plaintiff,
19
     having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
20
     follows:
2.1
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
22
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
2.3
     Q. Good afternoon, ma'am. Can you tell us your name,
24
    please?
25
     A. Danielle Renee Robichaux.
```

- 1 | Q. And where do you reside?
- 2 A. In Virginia Beach.
- 3 Q. And how long have you lived in Virginia Beach?
- 4 A. Twenty years.
- 5 Q. Do you currently have a job?
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- 7 Q. And who do you work for?
- 8 A. GMS Industrial Supply.
- 9 Q. And where do you perform your work for this company?
- 10 A. In Virginia Beach.
- 11 Q. And how long have you worked at that location?
- 12 A. Since 2011.
- 13 Q. What was your job title when you began working for GMS
- 14 | Industrial Supply, Inc.?
- 15 A. Operations manager.
- 16 Q. And as the operations manager, what were your
- 17 responsibilities?
- 18 | A. I would oversee our internal staff, monitor their duties
- 19 and responsibilities, delegate tasks, process sales quotes
- 20 and sales orders, establish sales prices for products, review
- 21 | vendor quotes, shipping estimates, commission reports, and
- 22 | administer sales agent agreements and documents.
- 23 Q. Okay. Were you the custodian of those sales agent
- 24 agreements?
- 25 A. Yes, I was.

- 1 | Q. Okay. Now, how are you involved in the product bidding
- 2 process?
- 3 | A. Processing quotes on GMS products for various purchasing
- 4 avenues.
- 5 | Q. And can you describe to us a few of those avenues to
- 6 purchase products?
- 7 A. EMALL, GSA, the DIBBS Board, credit card.
- 8 Q. Okay. In your role as the operations manager of GMS
- 9 | Industrial Supply, did you learn what a DDL -- I mean 1155
- 10 was?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And how did you learn what that was?
- 13 A. By reviewing documents.
- 14 Q. Okay. And what is a DDL -- I mean 1155?
- 15 A. It's an order for supplies or services.
- 16 Q. Okay. And if I call a DD 1155 an award for purposes of
- 17 | your work, would that be appropriate?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And did you learn how to read these award
- 20 documents?
- 21 | A. Yes, I did.
- 22 Q. Okay. Can you explain to us how you were involved in the
- 23 | product pricing and product cost processes?
- 24 A. We would receive vendor quotes for products, determine
- 25 | shipping estimates, determine packaging costs, as well as

- 1 | factor in commission payments to establish a sales price.
- 2 Q. Okay. Was competitor pricing involved in that pricing at
- 3 all?
- 4 A. Yes, it was.
- 5 Q. Okay. How were you involved in the product sales
- 6 process?
- 7 A. By processing sales quotes and processing sales orders.
- 8 Q. Okay. Would you process these sales orders and sales
- 9 quotes for DLA?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What is DLA?
- 12 A. It's Defense Logistics Agency, one of our customers.
- 13 Q. Okay. Would you do the same for GSA?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And what is GSA?
- 16 A. General Services Administration, also one of our
- 17 | customers.
- 18 Q. Okay. Do you recognize EMALL?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What is EMALL?
- 21 A. EMALL was a procurement avenue for government agencies to
- 22 purchase products.
- 23 Q. Okay. And were you involved in handling EMALL matters
- 24 for GMS?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. How was GMS involved with EMALL?
- 2 A. We were awarded a contract to have our products available
- 3 for purchase to government agencies.
- 4 Q. Okay. Do you know when you secured that contract for
- 5 EMALL?
- 6 A. In 2007.
- 7 Q. Okay. Did GMS Industrial Supply have access to EMALL
- 8 when you started working for GMS?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. In your tenure with GMS Industrial Supply, did GMS
- 11 | ever lose access to EMALL?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Okay. Does EMALL still exist today?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Okay. Has it become something else?
- 16 A. Yes. It's now known as FedMall.
- 17 Q. Okay. Did GMS Industrial Supply maintain its access to
- 18 offer products when EMALL became FedMall?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. How long has GMS Industrial Supply had access to
- 21 FedMall?
- 22 A. Since 2017.
- 23 Q. Okay. How were you involved in the management of
- 24 internal employees?
- 25 A. I would oversee their daily tasks, delegate tasks, review

- 1 operating procedures and processes, administer employment
- 2 agreements.
- 3 | Q. Did you have any role in the establishment of pay?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. How were -- how were you involved in the custodial
- 6 | administrative processes for the sales agent agreements?
- 7 A. I would administer the sales agent agreements as well and
- 8 | maintain them, if there was any updates or additions.
- 9 | Q. What do you mean when you say you "maintained additions"?
- 10 A. If a sales agent wanted to add an additional territory to
- 11 | their non-exclusive sales agent agreement, we would update
- 12 | the agreement or have a new agreement.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, you just used the word "non-exclusive." What
- 14 | did you understand non-exclusive to mean in those agreements?
- 15 A. That GMS Industrial Supply had the right to appoint other
- 16 | sales agents to territories.
- 17 | Q. Okay. So that was a benefit that was provided to GMS and
- 18 | not to the sales agent; is that correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. Were you also involved in sales agent support?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. How were you involved in that process?
- 23 A. Providing them with access to training documents,
- 24 | resource documents, new agent kits, which included, like,
- 25 | catalogs, novelties, anytime we had updated catalogs, yeah.

```
Did you maintain price lists as well?
 1
     Q.
 2
    Α.
        Yes.
 3
        I would like to refer you to trial Exhibit 64, which is
     in binder. 1?
 5
              MR. MCFARLAND: I'm sorry. Plaintiff's 26?
 6
              MR. LASCARA: 64.
 7
              MR. MCFARLAND: Oh, 64.
              MR. BERKLEY: In binder 1.
 8
 9
              THE CLERK: I don't have it.
10
              THE COURT: Again, we don't have -- my courtroom
11
     deputy doesn't have that exhibit. We'll straighten it out
12
     later. Let's go.
13
              MR. MCFARLAND: This is a document, Your Honor,
14
     that the defendants have objected to, and the Court has
15
    under advisement.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. Thank
17
     you for alerting me to that, Mr. McFarland.
18
              MR. BERKLEY: I don't have that document in front
19
     of me, Your Honor, and I'm not sure the witness is going to
20
     remember.
2.1
              MR. LASCARA: Do you see the screen?
22
              MR. BERKLEY: That's not it.
2.3
              MR. MCFARLAND: Are you sure it's Plaintiff's
24
     Exhibit 64?
25
             MR. BERKLEY: It's 2329 GMS. 63, I'm sorry.
```

```
1
              MR. MCFARLAND: I'm sorry.
 2
              MR. BERKLEY: My bad. This is Exhibit 63.
 3
              THE COURT: Do you have that?
              THE CLERK: Yes.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Lascara.
 6
              MR. LASCARA: I just wanted to make sure the Court
 7
    had that one.
 8
              THE COURT: We have it. Thank you.
 9
              All right. Go ahead.
10
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
11
        Okay. Ma'am, do you recognize Exhibit 63?
12
        Yes, I do.
     Α.
1.3
       And how do you recognize this document?
14
       This is a document that GMS would use to teach sales
15
     agents how to make sales to military customers and conduct
16
    themselves in the sales field.
17
     Q. Okay. As the operations manager, would you have control
18
    over this document?
19
     A. Yes.
20
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to offer
2.1
     Exhibit 63 into evidence.
2.2
              THE COURT: All right.
              Mr. McFarland, the basis for your objection?
2.3
24
              MR. MCFARLAND: Well, this one we don't object to,
25
    Your Honor.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Okay.
 2
              MR. MCFARLAND: It was the one that --
 3
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. MCFARLAND: -- Mr. Berkley, I think, had a
 4
 5
     little confusion about.
 6
              THE COURT: Any objection to this?
 7
              MR. MCFARLAND: 63 we're good with, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. No objection. It will be
 8
 9
     admitted.
10
              You may publish.
11
              MR. BERKLEY: Yes, please publish, Your Honor.
12
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 63 received in evidence.)
13
     BY MR. BERKLEY:
14
     Q. Ms. Robichaux, what is this document?
15
        This is a training document that shows -- that we would
16
     use to teach our sales agents how to make sales to military
17
     customers.
18
     Q. Okay. And what did you, in your capacity as operations
19
     manager, do in providing sales agents with support with this
20
     document?
2.1
         I would share this document with them, new and existing
22
     sales agents, through a folder on our Google drive.
2.3
        Okay. And do you know how this document was created?
24
        From Gary Gorken's sales experience.
25
     Q. Okay. And where is this document kept?
```

- 1 A. On the account manager Google drive folder.
- 2 Q. Okay. And who has access to the account manager Google
- 3 drive folder?
- 4 A. Myself, our sales managers, our sales agents, and our
- 5 graphics team.
- 6 Q. Okay. Has access to this been expanded beyond those
- 7 people?
- 8 A. Once we developed our marketing planning team, they
- 9 gained access to this as well.
- 10 Q. Okay. And do you know when that access was provided to
- 11 | the marketing team?
- 12 A. 2017.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, how would a sales agent gain access to the
- 14 | account manger's Google drive folder?
- 15 A. I would share a link to the account manager folder
- 16 through email.
- 17 Q. Okay. And was that email like an electronic key to get
- 18 | into the system?
- 19 A. Yes, it was.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now, is this document that we're looking at, 63,
- 21 | is this document stagnant, or does it evolve over time?
- 22 A. It evolves.
- 23 | O. And how would it evolve?
- 24 A. Based on information that we may receive from the sales
- 25 | team, it may be information that's documented in their data

- 1 portals, or if it was relevant, we would add it and
- 2 incorporate it into the document.
- 3 | Q. Now, who would add it and incorporate it into the
- 4 document?
- 5 A. Myself.
- 6 Q. Okay. Would you have any assistance in incorporating
- 7 | information from the data portal into the document?
- 8 A. From our marketing team.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now I would like to move on to trial Exhibit 65.
- Okay. Ma'am, do you recognize this document?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And how do you recognize this document?
- 13 A. This is an email where I'm sharing access to the account
- 14 manager documents folder to a sales agent.
- MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, this one the Court has
- 16 | under advisement, and I will just note that it's a document
- 17 | that apparently was created after, months after my clients
- 18 | were terminated.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. Well, let me -- so --
- MR. MCFARLAND: Irrelevant, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 All right, Plaintiff.
- MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, the relevancy of this
- 24 | document is an example of the electronic key that she was
- 25 talking about as how she would send it out to the sales

```
agents and other people, and this is just a sample of how
 1
 2
     that is done.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. So, I think it's definitely
     demonstrative, so it can come in as a demonstrative exhibit.
 4
 5
              So, the objection is overruled.
 6
              MR. BERKLEY: Okay. Can we published this for the
 7
     jury, Your Honor?
 8
              MR. LASCARA: Your Honor, just maybe housekeeping,
 9
     we've called the first demonstrative exhibit as
10
     Demonstrative Exhibit 1. And in keeping with that, should
11
     we call this Demonstrative 2?
12
              THE COURT: We can keep your current number.
13
              MR. LASCARA: Okay.
14
              THE COURT: But just note that. We can take care
15
     of that as a housekeeping matter.
16
              MR. LASCARA: Thank you.
17
              MR. MCFARLAND: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Is the
18
     Court saying it can be used, or is the Court admitting it as
19
     an exhibit for publication to the jury?
20
              THE COURT: I'm admitting it. It can be published
2.1
     to the jury as a demonstrative exhibit to help explain her
2.2
     testimony.
2.3
              MR. MCFARLAND: Okay.
24
              THE COURT: Thank you.
25
              Go ahead. You may publish.
```

- 1 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 65 received in evidence.)
- 2 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 3 Q. So, ma'am, did you draft this email?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. Okay. And who did you send it to?
- 6 A. To our marketing team, Manuel Ortega and Cory Allen.
- 7 Q. Okay. And the section to Manuel Ortega, is there a link
- 8 | that he would have to go to in order to get into the account
- 9 | manager Google drive folder?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And so this is a sample of the electronic key that
- 12 | you would have to send out; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Now, if this key was given to anybody outside of
- 15 | GMS Industrial Supply, would they be able to get into the
- 16 | account manager Google drive folder?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Okay. If, for instance, Mr. Manuel gave it to a
- 19 | warehouse worker for GMS Industrial Supply, would that
- 20 | warehouse worker working inside GMS Industrial Supply be able
- 21 | to get into the system?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Okay. And why is that?
- 24 A. Because I would have to share it with the individuals in
- 25 order to gain access to it.

- 1 Q. So, your sharing of it, you control the access to any
- 2 | information --
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. -- you sent from the account manager Google drive folder?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to move on to
- 7 | trial Exhibit 62.
- 8 THE COURT: All right.
- 9 MR. BERKLEY: Which is in binder 1 as well.
- 10 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 11 Q. Ma'am, do you recognize this exhibit?
- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. Okay. And how do you recognize it?
- 14 A. This is a document used to teach sales agents how to
- 15 | provide -- how to sell to military customers at the motor
- 16 pool.
- 17 Q. Okay. And was this an exhibit that was in the account
- 18 | manager Google drive folder?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And was this an exhibit that you would have to
- 21 provide access to individuals in order to see?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to offer
- 24 this into evidence.
- THE COURT: Any objection?

- 1 MR. MCFARLAND: Oh, no objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted.
- 3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 62 received in evidence.)
- 4 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 5 Q. Can you explain to us what this document is?
- 6 A. It's a training that we use to teach the sales agents how
- 7 | they were to sell to the motor pools, the military customers
- 8 | at the motor pools, and how to conduct themselves in the
- 9 field.
- 10 Q. Okay. And, again, this document was kept in the account
- 11 | manager Google drive folder, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So, the information in this document, who developed the
- 14 | information in this document?
- 15 A. From Gary Gorken's sales experience, and then information
- 16 | that we may have received from the account managers' data
- 17 | portal or sales managers' information that was relevant.
- 18 Q. So, who would go into the data portal and gather that
- 19 information to supplement Gary Gorken's sales experience?
- 20 A. Our marketing team.
- 21 Q. Okay. And that would include you as well?
- 22 A. Includes me, yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. Was all of the training materials for sales agents
- 24 | sent by you using this electronic key process?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to move on
 1
 2
     to trial Exhibit 40.
 3
              THE COURT: All right.
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
         Do you have that before you, Ms. Robichaux?
 6
        Yes, I do.
     Α.
 7
        Okay. Now, do you recognize this document?
     Q.
 8
     Α.
        Yes, I do.
 9
       And how do you recognize it?
10
     A. This is also a training resource document that's kept in
11
     the account manager Google drive.
12
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to offer
1.3
     this into evidence.
14
              THE COURT: Any objection?
15
              MR. MCFARLAND: I'm trying to keep up, Your Honor,
16
     if I can. Just one second.
17
              THE COURT: All right.
18
              MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, we do object to this
19
     document as irrelevant.
20
              I understood originally plaintiffs had withdrawn
21
     the document. I don't know that it was put forth on the
22
     joint motion. And then the Court has it under advisement.
2.3
              THE COURT: All right. So what's the relevance of
24
     this document?
25
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, this is one --
```

```
THE COURT: Let's jump on the headsets for this.
 1
 2
              (Sidebar conference as follows.)
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Thumbs up when everybody
 4
     can hear.
 5
              Okay, Plaintiff, what's the relevance of this
 6
     document?
 7
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, this is one of the many
 8
     training guide documents that are kept in the Google drive
 9
     folder that sales agents must review in order to go out and
10
     make sales for GMS Industrial Supply. It is a trade secret.
11
     We believe it's a trade secret. And as you know, Your Honor
12
     had ruled that Mr. Greer had spoliated evidence and
13
     documents that were in the laptop. This, we have adverse
14
     instructions hopefully coming with regards to trade secrets,
15
     and we believe this is one of the documents that could have
16
     been destroyed. This teaches the sales agents how to
17
     specifically sell to aircraft squadrons with aircraft parts
18
     using NSNs, the National Stock Numbers. Now, while that's
19
     not something that GMS is saying that they were selling at
20
     the time, the whole purpose of selling CCPNs or non-standard
     NSNs is to eventually get to an NSN level, and if they did,
2.1
22
     they would have this document that would allow them to
2.3
     expand their business into aircraft squadrons and other
24
     areas. So, I think it's very relevant to the trade secret
25
     analysis that's before the Court.
```

```
THE COURT: All right.
 1
 2
              Mr. McFarland.
 3
              MR. MCFARLAND: First off, Your Honor, I don't
 4
     think this is in any way a trade secret. It's a generic
 5
     how-to document.
 6
              But more important, number one, we don't know when
 7
     this document was created so that it was even available to
     my clients before April 3rd of 2019, so that's first.
 8
 9
              Second, it's wholly irrelevant. My clients didn't
10
     sell anything to the Navy. The top of this document is
11
     Naval Aviation Logistics Command Management Information
12
     System. My clients never had anything to do with that,
1.3
     never set foot on a naval base.
14
              I mean, this is totally irrelevant to this case.
15
     It's going to confuse this jury, and it's not adding -- it's
16
     not probative. It is not in any way going to make a fact
     more or less probable.
17
18
              THE COURT: All right.
19
              Plaintiff, I'll give you the last word.
20
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I think this is relevant,
21
     and it goes to the growth of GMS and what they could do once
22
     they established NSN sales and expanded beyond the CCPN
2.3
     market that they were trying to say that that's all they
24
     were involved in. They were clearly heading towards the NSN
25
     market, because that's why you sell the non-standard NSNs,
```

and this would be a means for them to grow their business which they didn't have.

And you will hear testimony from this witness saying that GMS created this document. This was not a copy and cut and paste job from some instruction manual. They came up with this idea and this plan and how to make it work for aircraft carriers. It's very important to their trade secrets.

THE COURT: All right. Well, right now, based on what the witness has testified, the objection is sustained. But I will allow you to ask some more questions about it, and then we'll see where we are.

MR. BERKLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

(End of sidebar conference.

15 BY MR. BERKLEY:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Ms. Robichaux, with regard to this document, how was this
- 17 | document created?
- 18 A. From information received from our sales team to develop
- 19 these steps.
- Q. Okay. Did you cut and paste this document from
- 21 instructions, or did you come up -- or did GMS come up with
- 22 | this document on its own?
- 23 A. GMS came up with this document.
- Q. And they used their own experience in order to come up
- 25 | with how to make sales to aircraft squadrons?

```
1 A. Yes.
```

- MR. BERKLEY: Okay. Again, Your Honor, we offer
- 3 this into evidence.
- 4 THE COURT: All right.
- 5 Mr. McFarland.
- 6 MR. MCFARLAND: The same objections, Your Honor.
- 7 And there must be a reason, but it hasn't even been asked
- 8 when this document was created.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. The objection is sustained.
- MR. BERKLEY: Okay.
- 11 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 12 Q. Ma'am, how long did you hold the title of operations
- 13 | manager for GMS Industrial Supply?
- 14 A. Until March of 2016.
- 15 Q. Okay. And during that time, would price lists for
- 16 | non-NSNs and NSNs be some of the type of documents that you
- would pass to sales agents through the electronic key
- 18 process?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And did you receive a new job title from GMS
- 21 | Industrial Supply in March of 2016?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 | Q. And what was this title?
- 24 A. It was director of marketing administration.
- Q. Okay. Did this new title mean you no longer had the

- 1 responsibilities of the operations manager?
- 2 A. No. I continued with those responsibilities.
- 3 | Q. Okay. And did you gain some new responsibilities?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. And what were these additional responsibilities?
- 6 A. I have spoken more with contracting officers for
- 7 | government agencies, negotiated contracts, also maintaining
- 8 any sales agent agreements that were amended or updated or
- 9 any new sales agent agreements.
- 10 Q. Did you also support the sales managers?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. And was this an additional task added to your
- 13 responsibility to support the sales agents?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And who were some of the sales managers?
- 16 A. Westly Greer, Jeff Johnson, Howard Barlow. I'm trying to
- 17 | think back to that time. Jennifer Allen.
- 18 | Q. Okay. So how are you involved in providing support to
- 19 | these sales managers?
- 20 A. By reviewing information that's in the sales agents' data
- 21 portals to look at trends, gather information, compile sales
- 22 | information that they could use to strategize or to gain more
- 23 sales in the field.
- Q. Okay. So what is the account manager data portal?
- 25 A. The account manager data portal is an individual data

- 1 | portal for each account manager that keeps information as far
- 2 | as customer preferences, customer contact information,
- 3 customer lists, ordering information, order history and
- 4 preferences from customers, as well as the account managers'
- 5 daily activities.
- 6 Q. Okay. And how is the account manager data portal
- 7 different from the account manager Google drive folder?
- 8 A. The account manager data portal is an individual thing
- 9 | for each specific account manager. The account manager
- 10 documents Google drive folder is compiled of resources that
- 11 | are shared with all of the sales agents.
- 12 Q. Okay. And can you describe some of the information that
- 13 | was placed into the account manager data portal?
- 14 A. The customer lists for GMS, the ordering histories of the
- 15 | customers, daily activities, trends, I think that...
- 16 Q. Okay. And what would GMS management do with this data
- 17 | that was in the data portal?
- 18 | A. We would review the interactions of the account managers,
- 19 pass that information along to sales management if there was
- 20 any, you know, maybe challenges or any special occurrences,
- 21 | things that they needed to be aware of. We would use that
- 22 | information, the ordering information for internal tracking
- 23 purposes for stocking, having material available, and looking
- 24 | for other trends. And the customer lists, we always needed
- 25 to keep our database updated with current customers.

- 1 Q. Okay. And so who would have access to the account
- 2 | manager data portal?
- 3 A. The specific account manager, their sales manager, the
- 4 | marketing team, the director of sales.
- 5 MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to move on
- 6 to trial Exhibit 86 in binder 1.
- 7 THE COURT: All right.
- 8 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 9 Q. Ma'am, do you recognize trial Exhibit 86?
- 10 A. Yes, I do.
- 11 Q. Okay. And how do you recognize this document?
- 12 A. This is a document that I was sharing to Westly regarding
- 13 | Fort Leavenworth.
- 14 Q. And what is the date of this document?
- 15 A. September 12th, 2018.
- 16 MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to offer
- 17 | this into evidence.
- 18 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 19 MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, this one was one the
- 20 | Court has actually ruled on and says it can only be shown to
- 21 | show knowledge, not for the truth of the matter asserted; in
- 22 other words, the contents.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. All right. You can use it for
- 24 that purpose.
- MR. BERKLEY: Okay.

- 1 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 2 Q. Ma'am, why did you send this document to Westly Greer?
- 3 A. Because he was planning a training trip to Fort
- 4 Leavenworth, and we were providing previous GSA sales orders
- 5 | that GMS had received.
- 6 Q. And how did you send this message to Westly Greer?
- 7 A. Through the Google drive. It was shared.
- 8 Q. Okay. And was access to this Google drive restricted to
- 9 | the same electronic key process?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And was this a sample of information that you were
- 12 | sharing with an account manager to support them?
- MR. MCFARLAND: Objection, Your Honor. Now we're
- 14 getting into what the document is. The ruling was it can be
- 15 | shown to show that Mr. Greer received it, notice, not the
- 16 | contents, not the purpose.
- 17 THE COURT: Plaintiff.
- 18 MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I'm not getting into the
- 19 | specific contents of this. I'm just asking if it was a
- 20 | sample of account manager support that she sent out through
- 21 the Google drive electronic key process.
- 22 THE COURT: Overruled.
- Go ahead. She can answer that question.
- 24 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 25 Q. Ma'am, was this a document -- this is a sample of a

- 1 | document that you would send out to an account manager
- 2 | through the electronic key process?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. Ma'am, were you involved in government contract
- 5 | negotiations in your role as the director of marketing
- 6 | administration?
- 7 A. Yes, I was.
- 8 Q. Okay. And how were you involved?
- 9 A. Communicating with government contracting officers,
- 10 | attending industry day events or government contracting
- 11 | events, submitting bids and proposals, compiling the
- 12 information for those bids and proposals.
- 13 Q. Okay. And this process, were you trying to secure
- 14 long-term contracts with the government?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And do you know when GMS Industrial Supply received its
- 17 | first long-term contract with the government?
- 18 | A. In January of 2018.
- 19 Q. And were you involved in securing that contract?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. So, what did this long-term contract do for GMS
- 22 | Industrial Supply?
- 23 A. It meant that GMS did not have to bid on individual
- 24 | solicitations for our NSN material.
- 25 Q. Okay. And what do you mean by not have to bid?

- 1 A. We didn't have to go to the DIBBS Board to bid on
- 2 | solicitations for that material, and the government would
- 3 | stock our items, stock our material in the government depots.
- 4 Q. Okay. And was there a certain level of goods that had to
- 5 be stocked in their depots?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what would happen if somebody used the GCSS
- 8 | system to purchase an item? Would it come from that depot?
- 9 A. Yes, it would.
- 10 | Q. Okay. If it was one of the items that was --
- 11 A. If it was one of the items that was on the long-term
- 12 contract.
- 13 Q. Okay. And what would happen when the items in the depot,
- 14 | the level of -- the number of the items in the depot would
- 15 | shrink?
- 16 A. DLA would issue GMS another order for supplies.
- 17 Q. And that order did not have to go through the DIBBS order
- 18 process?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I'm going to turn to
- 21 | trial Exhibit 64.
- 22 THE COURT: All right.
- 23 THE CLERK: I don't have that one.
- 24 THE COURT: For the record, the courtroom deputy
- 25 | doesn't have that one.

```
MR. BERKLEY: This is the one we started with,
 1
 2
     so...
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
 3
        Ma'am, can you see trial Exhibit 64 on your screen?
        I do.
 6
        Okay. And do you recognize this document?
 7
        Yes.
    Α.
     Q. Okay. And how do you recognize this document?
 8
 9
    A. This is one of the training resource documents that's on
10
     the account manager Google drive.
11
        Okay. And is this one of the documents that you would
12
    have access and control dissemination of with your electronic
13
    key?
14
    A. Yes.
15
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to offer
16
    this into evidence.
17
              THE COURT: All right.
18
              Mr. McFarland.
19
              MR. MCFARLAND: Yes, Your Honor. This is a
20
     document that the Court took under advisement our objections
21
     to. One, there is no date. There is no foundation for this
22
     document at this point in time. We don't know if it was in
23
     existence when my clients were still doing sales before they
24
    were terminated. And, two, it's irrelevant.
25
              THE COURT: Headsets.
```

```
(Sidebar conference as follows.)
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Thumbs up when everybody can hear.
 3
              All right, Plaintiff, relevance.
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, this is another one of
 4
 5
     the trade secrets that is kept in the account manager Google
 6
     drive folder that Westly Greer would have had access to and
 7
     could have disseminated among all of the other sales agents.
 8
     It is instructions on how to load NSNs into the GCSS system,
 9
     which the Army uses to purchase NSNs. And, again, while
10
     they are focussing on CCPN sales or non-standard sales,
11
     this would obviously lead to the sale of NSN sales in the
12
     future. And this would be a way for them to teach their
13
     oncoming sales agents how to do the process and share it
14
     with them.
15
              THE COURT: All right.
16
              All right, Mr. McFarland.
17
              MR. MCFARLAND: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
              First off, we don't know that Mr. Greer ever had
19
     access to this document because we don't know when it was
20
     created. There is no foundation for it. Second, my clients
2.1
     never sold an NSN. They were terminated long before they
22
     had anything to do with NSNs, and so it's irrelevant.
2.3
              THE COURT: All right.
24
              MR. MCFARLAND: And, third, I'll just note the idea
25
     that this document is a trade secret, we wholly disagree
```

```
with that.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: All right.
 3
              MR. MCFARLAND: This is a --
              THE COURT: That's a later argument, I think.
 4
 5
              MR. MCFARLAND: Yes, I get you.
 6
              THE COURT: But he raised it. It's fair for you to
 7
     respond to that.
 8
              MR. MCFARLAND: Yes.
 9
              THE COURT: Yes. Okay. So, here is what I think.
10
     Again, I do think this is a little different from the other
11
     document because there has been a lot of discussion about
12
     NSNs, CCPNs, et cetera. And so I think instructions to do
1.3
     that would be relevant, but you would need to ask some more
14
     questions to lay the proper foundation for that to come in.
15
     So, I am sustaining the objection right at this second, but
16
     allowing you to ask some more questions.
17
              MR. BERKLEY: Sure, Your Honor.
18
              (End of sidebar conference.)
19
     BY MR. BERKLEY:
20
        Ms. Robichaux, would this document have existed at the
2.1
     time that Westly Greer was working for GMS Industrial Supply?
2.2
     Α.
         Yes.
2.3
         Would this document have existed at the time that
24
     Mr. Spires was working for GMS Industrial Supply?
25
     Α.
         Yes.
```

- 1 Q. What about Mr. Welton?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And what about Sabrina Greer?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And was this a document that you would have shared with
- 6 | new sales agents when they came onboard?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And it would have been shared with -- and you
- 9 started in that role of sharing training materials when?
- 10 A. Sharing training materials, probably even back in my
- 11 operations manager days.
- 12 Q. Okay. And did you -- would this be a document that you
- 13 also would have shared with Thomas Hayes, when he came
- 14 onboard?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MR. BERKLEY: Okay. Your Honor, again we would
- 17 | like to move this into evidence.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. McFarland, I note your
- 19 objection. Overruled. It will come in. Thank you.
- 20 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 64 received in evidence.)
- 21 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 22 | Q. Ma'am, can you explain to us what this document is?
- 23 A. This is the document that has instructions on how to
- 24 | input NSN items into the GCSS system to be available to
- 25 order.

- 1 Q. And can you explain to us again what the GCSS system is?
- 2 A. It's the Global Combat Support System used by military
- 3 | for ordering material and tracking material, logistics.
- 4 Q. Okay. And what could you order through the GCSS system?
- 5 A. You could order NSN items and non-NSN items.
- 6 Q. Okay. And so what is the purpose of this document?
- 7 A. This document, the purpose is one of our established
- 8 training methods was to teach our sales agents to learn the
- 9 processes and the systems that the military customers used,
- 10 | so that they could assist them with how to order our
- 11 material.
- 12 Q. Okay. And, again, where is this document kept?
- 13 A. The account manager Google drive folder.
- 14 Q. And that would have been kept under that electronic key
- 15 | process that you had, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And did you control that access?
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, moving on to trial
- 20 Exhibit 66.
- 21 THE COURT: All right.
- 22 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 23 Q. Ma'am, do you have that before you?
- 24 A. I do.
- 25 Q. Okay. Do you recognize this document?

```
1 A. I do.
```

- 2 Q. Okay. And how do you recognize this document?
- 3 A. I created it.
- 4 Q. Okay. And when did you create this document?
- 5 A. Um, in 2018.
- 6 Q. Okay. And were the defendants working for GMS Industrial
- 7 | Supply at that time?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And where did you keep this document?
- 10 A. In the account manager Google drive folder.
- 11 MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to offer
- 12 this into evidence.
- 13 THE COURT: All right.
- 14 Any objection?
- MR. MCFARLAND: No objection to this one, Your
- 16 Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. It will be entered.
- 18 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 66 received in evidence.)
- 19 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 20 Q. Ma'am, can you explain to us what this document is?
- 21 A. This is a training guide or checklist that was provided
- 22 to new account managers and taught to them to ensure that
- 23 they had all of the basic training steps from GMS.
- Q. Okay. And how did you develop this document?
- 25 A. It was developed from sales experience from Gary Gorken

- 1 | and different steps that we -- and the different manner that
- 2 | we wanted to train the sales agents.
- 3 Q. Okay. And who was responsible for producing this
- 4 document to the new account managers or sales agents?
- 5 A. Myself.
- 6 Q. Okay. And when I say account manager, that's also a
- 7 | sales agent; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 Q. Okay. Would this document be used to teach sales agents
- 10 how to make sales?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Does this document refer to NSNs?
- 13 A. Yes, it does.
- 14 Q. Okay. Does it also refer to CCPNs?
- 15 A. Yes, it does.
- 16 Q. Why did you decide to put CCPNs on this document?
- 17 A. Because GMS was selling CCPNs and NSN items, and we
- 18 | wanted to be sure that our sales agents knew the different
- 19 procurement avenues for those, for both of those things.
- 20 Q. Okay. So when a new sales agent would come to GMS, one
- 21 of the first documents they would see is this; is that
- 22 | correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And they were put on notice at that time that their job
- 25 | was to sell NSNs and CCPNs; is that right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And when I say CCPNs, that's also a non-NSN?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to move on to
- 5 | trial Exhibit 67.
- 6 THE COURT: All right.
- 7 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 8 Q. Ma'am, do you recognize trial Exhibit 67?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- 10 Q. And who prepared this document?
- 11 A. Our customer service manager.
- 12 Q. Okay. And who does the customer service manager work
- 13 | with?
- 14 A. Myself.
- 15 Q. Okay. And would you have any oversight on the
- 16 | preparation of this document?
- 17 A. Yes. I provided information, and input, and a final
- 18 | review and approval.
- 19 Q. Okay. And what is the date of this document?
- 20 A. January 25th, 2016.
- 21 Q. And were the defendants in this case working for GMS
- 22 | Industrial Supply at that time?
- 23 A. Yes.
- MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to offer
- 25 | this into evidence.

```
1 THE COURT: Mr. McFarland, any objection?
```

- 2 MR. MCFARLAND: No. No objection to this one, Your
- 3 Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted. You
- 5 | may publish.
- 6 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 67 received in evidence.)
- 7 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 8 Q. Ms. Robichaux, what is the purpose of this document?
- 9 A. It's a reminder to the sales agents on the proper
- 10 procedure to request a quote from our customer service team.
- 11 Q. Okay. And what do you mean request a quote?
- 12 A. Request a quote for GMS items.
- 13 Q. Okay. And who would you be requesting the quote from or
- 14 | to or given to?
- 15 A. The sales agents would be requesting a quote from our
- 16 | customer service team.
- 17 Q. Okay. And what would they do with that quote?
- 18 A. The customer service team would send it to the sales
- 19 | agent and/or GMS' customer.
- 20 Q. Okay. And when the sales agent received this quote, what
- 21 | would they do with it?
- 22 A. They would take this to their customer to review with
- 23 | their customer.
- Q. Okay. Was this one of the documents that was kept in the
- 25 | account manager Google drive folder?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And this was under lock and key like we've talked about
- 3 before with the electronic key process?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Okay. So who would have issued this document out of the
- 6 account manager Google drive folder?
- 7 A. Myself.
- 8 Q. Okay. Ma'am, in the middle of this document, the "Info
- 9 Below Needs" section, do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. What is the purpose of that section?
- 12 A. Because we needed to maintain our customer lists and our
- database, so we've always needed to get the customer's name,
- 14 | the base they're at, their unit or their command, and their
- 15 point of contact information.
- 16 Q. And why did you need all of that information?
- 17 A. To maintain our customer lists.
- 18 | Q. Okay. And what would you do with those customer lists?
- 19 A. It was historical information that we would need. It
- 20 | would show us purchasing trends for customers.
- 21 Q. Okay. And so you were instructing with this document the
- 22 | sales agents to provide information in the sales agent data
- 23 portal?
- 24 A. Yes. That information would be in their data portal as
- 25 | well, yes.

```
MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I'm moving on to trial
 1
 2
     Exhibit 68.
 3
              THE COURT: All right.
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
        Ms. Robichaux, do you recognize this document?
 6
        I do.
    Α.
 7
    Q. And who prepared this document?
 8
    Α.
       Myself.
 9
     Q. Okay. And was this a document that you kept in your
10
     account manager Google drive folder?
11
    A. It is.
12
        Okay. And do you know when you prepared this document?
1.3
        I believe it was 2016.
14
     Q. Okay. And would the defendants have been working for GMS
15
     at the time you prepared this document?
16
    A. Yes.
17
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to offer
18
     this into evidence.
19
              THE COURT: Any objection?
20
              MR. MCFARLAND: No objection, Your Honor.
2.1
              THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted.
22
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 68 received in evidence.)
2.3
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
24
     Q. Ma'am, could you explain to us what you were doing with
25
    this document?
```

- 1 A. Notifying our sales agents of the process and procedure
- 2 on how to submit documentation or confirmation of sales
- 3 orders, products received, so that they could receive credit
- 4 for it to be paid commission.
- 5 Q. Okay. So, why did you feel it was important for them to
- 6 | send confirmation of their sales orders?
- 7 A. So that they would get credit for it, so we could pay
- 8 commissions.
- 9 Q. So, the idea was that you weren't going to pay
- 10 | commissions until you received confirmation from the sales
- 11 agents?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Okay. And this notice that you sent out to the agents in
- 14 | 2016, was to let them know that every time they made a sale
- 15 | they needed to provide some type of confirmation?
- MR. MCFARLAND: Objection, Your Honor, leading and
- 17 | testifying.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. Sustained.
- 19 MR. BERKLEY: Okay.
- THE COURT: Rephrase.
- 21 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 22 Q. Again, ma'am, what was the purpose of sending this
- 23 document to sales agents?
- 24 | A. To notify the sales agents that they needed to provided
- 25 | confirmation of material receipt to receive credit,

```
commissions.
 1
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I'll move on. Moving on
 2
 3
     to trial Exhibit 89 in binder 1.
     BY MR. BERKLEY:
 4
     Q. Ma'am, do you recognize this document?
 6
              MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, I am going to object.
 7
     We have an agreement with plaintiff's counsel that this
 8
     document would come in through another witness and not
 9
     Ms. Robichaux.
10
              THE COURT: Yes. Headsets. Headsets.
11
              (Sidebar conference as follows.)
12
              THE COURT: Thumbs up if you can hear me.
13
              All right. I'm looking at the list, and it says
14
     89, to which the defendant objected, was an email regarding
15
     GSA price lists. It says withdrawn exhibit and withdrawn
16
     objection. Is that the basis of your objection,
17
     Mr. McFarland?
18
              MR. MCFARLAND: I think what I understood was page
19
     3 was withdrawn, and so we -- page 3 is withdrawn, and the
20
     remainder is admissible if used with Mr. Greer, but not with
2.1
     this witness.
2.2
              THE COURT: Okay. All right.
23
              MR. WILSON: Well, Your Honor, our understanding of
24
     this is we withdrew page 3 altogether, and that anybody
25
     could bring it in as long as page 3 didn't get in.
```

```
THE COURT: All right.
 1
 2
              So that's not your understanding, Mr. McFarland?
 3
              MR. MCFARLAND: No, Your Honor. Our understanding
     is because it's a hearsay document, it can only come in
 4
 5
     through Greer as potentially a party admission.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. So, what we'll do is this.
 7
     Plaintiff, if you're still moving to admit it, I'll see if
 8
     you can lay the proper foundation. If you can't, then you
 9
     can't. If you can, then it will come in.
10
              Mr. Wilson, is there something else you wanted to
11
     say?
12
              MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: All right.
14
              (End of sidebar conference.)
15
              THE COURT: So, at any rate, page 3, which is in
16
     the courtroom deputy's documents is being removed.
17
              Go ahead with your examination.
18
     BY MR. BERKLEY:
19
        Ma'am, is this a document that you prepared?
20
        Yes.
     Α.
2.1
         And on what date did you prepare this document?
22
     Α.
         August 11, 2015.
2.3
         Okay. And, again, were all of the defendants working for
24
     GMS Industrial Supply at that time?
25
     Α.
         Yes.
```

```
1 Q. Okay. And was this one of the documents that you would
```

- 2 have kept in your account manager Google drive folder?
- 3 A. Some of the attachments would have been kept in there,
- 4 but this looks like it's emailed out.
- 5 Q. Okay. And why were you sending this document out?
- 6 A. Because we had added -- we were granted additions to our
- 7 EMALL contract for additional GMS products.
- 8 Q. Okay. And were you involved in that process of getting
- 9 those additional products with EMALL?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to offer
- 12 | this into evidence.
- 13 THE COURT: All right, Mr. McFarland.
- MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, it is a hearsay
- document. It is an out-of-court statement being offered for
- 16 | the truth of the matter. And now we also know that it
- 17 | wasn't kept in the Google drive folder under any secure
- 18 | system or whatever the lock and key phrase I have heard, and
- 19 | the attachments aren't being offered and are irrelevant.
- 20 THE COURT: All right.
- 21 MR. MCFARLAND: So the document is irrelevant and
- 22 | it's hearsay.
- 23 THE COURT: All right.
- Mr. Wilson, you can consult with your lawyer up
- 25 here.

```
MR. WILSON: I just wanted to make sure.
 1
 2
     BY MR. BERKLEY:
 3
        Ma'am, again, did you draft this, the first page of this
     document?
     A. I did.
 6
     Q. Okay. And this is all your language and your words,
 7
     right?
 8
     A. Yes.
 9
              MR. BERKLEY: So, Your Honor, again, we would like
10
     to admit at least the first page of this document based on
11
     the fact that she drafted it.
12
              THE COURT: Can you scroll down to the second page,
13
     so I can see the second page?
14
              All right. Are the first page and the second page
15
     the same thing?
16
              MR. BERKLEY: Well, the second page is a reminder
17
     making sure that people received it. But, again, that's her
18
     words. That is her writing this.
19
              THE COURT: All right. The objection is overruled.
20
              You may publish.
21
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 89 received in evidence.)
22
     BY MR. BERKLEY:
23
        Ma'am, again, you drafted the first page of this
24
     document; is that correct?
25
     A. Yes.
```

- 1 | Q. And why did you do that?
- 2 A. To announce the new additional items that were added to
- 3 our GMS EMALL contract.
- 4 Q. Okay. And what was the importance of announcing these
- 5 | additional items?
- 6 A. It was many more items that were added for the sales
- 7 agents to be able to just go out and sell.
- 8 Q. Okay. And what type of items would have been added to
- 9 the EMALL process?
- 10 A. We added some containment system items. We added some
- 11 | consumable items. It looks like we also added some
- 12 | additional spill pallets.
- 13 Q. Okay. And were these NSN items?
- 14 A. These were not.
- 15 Q. Okay. And would these be non-standard NSN or non-NSN?
- 16 A. Non-NSNs.
- 17 Q. Okay. And were you informing the sales agents that they
- 18 | had a lot more non-NSNs to sell?
- MR. MCFARLAND: Objection. Now he's leading.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. BERKLEY: Okay.
- 22 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 23 Q. Again, why did you send this document out to the sales
- 24 agents?
- 25 A. To let them know of the additional non-NSN items that we

- 1 | had available.
- 2 Q. Okay. And was this a big deal for GMS?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Okay. Had you been able to have a one-time event like
- 5 | this happen in the past when you've had so many non-NSNs
- 6 added to EMALL?
- 7 A. Not that I can recall, no.
- 8 Q. Okay. And, again, when did this occur?
- 9 A. August 11th, 2016, is when I sent this out.
- 10 Q. Okay. And were all of the defendants working for GMS at
- 11 that time?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. If we could scroll down to the next page.
- Okay. Ma'am, is this also your writing on this
- 15 | email?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 O. And what's the date of this email.
- 18 A. August 12, 2016.
- 19 Q. Okay. And why were you sending out this email?
- 20 A. Just an additional reminder to make sure that they saw
- 21 | the new items that were added to our product offering on
- 22 EMALL.
- 23 Q. Okay. And who did you send this to?
- 24 A. To our agents, sales management, and our internal
- 25 | customer service team.

```
O. Okay. And your sales management, who would -- who would
 1
 2
     that include?
        That would have included the sales managers I mentioned
 3
    before: Westly Greer, Jeff Johnson, Greg Naschansky. I
     can't recall all of them at the time.
 6
     Q. Okay. And the sales agents that you sent it to, would
 7
     that have been the defendants here today?
    A. Yes.
 8
              MR. BERKLEY: That's all of the questions I have
 9
10
     for that, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
12
              All right, Mr. McFarland, any cross?
              MR. BERKLEY: No. I'm sorry. That was just for
13
14
     that exhibit, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: I misunderstood. All right.
16
              MR. BERKLEY: I'm sorry. I've got a ways to go.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
18
              MR. BERKLEY: Let's see. Moving on to trial
19
    Exhibit 73, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: All right.
2.1
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
22
        Ma'am, this is several pages that we're going to run
23
     through on the screen until we get to the end, so you can
24
     take a look at them. If we could do that.
```

Ma'am, did you have the opportunity to review all of

25

- 1 | those pages?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And do you recognize this document?
- 4 A. I do.
- 5 Q. Okay. And how do you recognize trial Exhibit 73?
- 6 A. This is a document that shows the different procurement
- 7 avenues that customers can purchase GMS products.
- 8 Q. Okay. And did you prepare this document?
- 9 A. I added input to prepare this document.
- 10 Q. Okay. And did you have to provide approval for the final
- 11 | version of this document?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. And who helped you prepare this document?
- 14 A. Our graphics team and marketing.
- 15 Q. Okay. And was this document in existence when all of the
- 16 defendants were working for GMS Industrial Supply?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Okay. And was this a document that you would provide to
- 19 | people through the account manager Google drive folder?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. So that was under the same lock and key?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. BERKLEY: Okay. Your Honor, I would like to
- 24 offer this into evidence.
- THE COURT: All right. Mr. McFarland, I know

```
you've objected, but I think I overruled this one, correct?
 1
 2
              MR. MCFARLAND: You did, Your Honor, and I
 3
     certainly don't need --
              THE COURT: Okay. Very good. It will be admitted.
 4
 5
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 73 received in evidence.)
 6
              MR. BERKLEY: So if we could publish that.
 7
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
     Q. Okay. Ma'am, again, what is the purpose of this
 8
 9
     document?
10
     A. This is a document that shows the different avenues that
11
     customers can procure GMS Industrial Supply products, and to
12
     train our sales agents on those different processes so they
1.3
     can assist customers.
14
     Q. Okay. And is this something that you would give to new
15
     sales agents?
16
    A. Yes.
17
     Q. Okay. And was it also a resource for the existing sales
18
    agents?
19
    A. Yes.
20
       Okay. So they could go back and refer to this if a
21
     customer needed help?
22
        Correct, from the account manager Google drive.
2.3
     Q. Okay. I want to turn to the third page of this document.
24
             Okay. What does it say across the top of that
25
     screen?
```

- 1 A. "Ways supply can process your orders."
- 2 Q. Okay. And why was this page placed into the document?
- 3 | A. To show the different procurement avenues that our GMS
- 4 | material could be ordered.
- 5 Q. Okay. So, your new sales agents would learn, for
- 6 instance, that they could use credit card direct sales?
- 7 MR. MCFARLAND: Objection, Your Honor, leading.
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 9 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 10 Q. So, the first item on this, this first bullet point, what
- 11 | is the purpose of that?
- 12 A. To inform sales agents that credit card -- direct credit
- 13 | card orders could be an avenue to order material.
- 14 Q. And what about the second bullet point?
- 15 A. That GSA via MILSTRIP was a procurement avenue available.
- 16 Q. Can you explain MILSTRIP to us?
- 17 A. MILSTRIP, I don't know what the acronym stands for off
- 18 | the top of my head, but it's military funding.
- 19 Q. Okay. And GSA, again, what is that?
- 20 A. General Services Administration, another one of our
- 21 customers.
- 22 Q. Okay. And the third bullet point, gsaadvantage.gov, what
- 23 is that?
- 24 A. Gsaadvantage.gov is a website offering products, and you
- 25 | could order by credit card. Customers could order by credit

```
card.
 1
        Okay. And then DOD EMALL, what is that?
 2
 3
        Another procurement avenue for military customers to
     order material that GMS had an EMALL contract with.
        And BPA, what is BPA?
 6
        Blanket purchase agreement.
 7
        Yeah. But what is that process?
     Q.
 8
        That's a process through GSA to have an open purchase
 9
     agreement for certain materials, for specific materials.
10
     Q. And what is prime vendor, the bullet point for --
11
              MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, I understand the
12
     document has been admitted, but this is all irrelevant.
                                                              Μv
13
     clients didn't make a single sale through any of these
14
     means.
15
              THE COURT: That's okay. That's fine. That's
16
     fine.
17
              So, Plaintiff, Mr. Berkley, why is this relevant?
18
              MR. BERKLEY: So, Your Honor, this is provided to
19
     new sales agents about how to sell, and there is so many
20
     different avenues of selling that a sales agent could go.
2.1
     So it could be through credit cards, or it could be through
22
     the GS or DOD EMALL, and it's all different products.
```

23

24

THE COURT: So two things. So the objection is

overruled. So that's that. But I also think it's starting

- 1 | cards, about FedMall and EMALL and all of that, that's fine.
- 2 And I'm going to let you do your case, but that portion is
- 3 starting to get cumulative. So I'm going to ask you to move
- 4 on.
- 5 MR. BERKLEY: Sure, Your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: And I understand I sustained his
- 7 | objection when you led her through it before, so I get it,
- 8 but we're going to have to move on. Okay?
- 9 MR. BERKLEY: Okay. Sure, Your Honor.
- 10 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 11 Q. So, if we can look at the pages that are marked at the
- 12 | bottom 2378 through 2386 on the side, and let me know when
- 13 | you've read those or reviewed.
- 14 A. You said through 2386?
- 15 Q. 2378 through 2386.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 | Q. And can you tell us what those pages represent?
- 18 A. These are training resources on how customers can order
- 19 through GSA, the steps to making, placing orders through GSA
- 20 avenues.
- 21 Q. Okay. So this is what the customers would need to do to
- 22 | place your order through the system; is that right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And why were you showing this to your sales
- 25 agents?

- 1 A. So that they could be informed and knowledgeable of the
- 2 different processes and systems that the customers would use,
- 3 and they could teach them the system if they needed help.
- 4 Q. So the sales agents would teach the customers if they had
- 5 any problem with entering --
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 | O. -- data; is that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. Was that an advantage that GMS had in the
- 10 marketplace?
- 11 A. Yes. It's one of the ways that we trained our sales
- 12 agents to learn these systems so that they could assist the
- 13 customers.
- 14 Q. Okay. Now, if we can look to pages 2387 through 2397.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. Can you tell us what those pages represent?
- 17 A. This represents the DOD EMALL platform and how to
- 18 | navigate through the DOD EMALL system.
- 19 Q. Okay. And would this be something that the customers
- 20 | would have to navigate through?
- 21 A. The customers have to navigate through, yes.
- 22 Q. So, again, why are you teaching your sales agents about
- 23 what the customers have to do with DOD EMALL?
- 24 A. So they could be knowledgeable of the systems, and they
- 25 | could train and assist the customers if they needed help with

- 1 | ordering our materials.
- 2 Q. So this is one of the training documents that you would
- 3 give to new sales agents; is that right?
- 4 A. New and existing sales agents.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now, if we look at the last few pages, 2398
- 6 | through 2400.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. Can you tell us what those pages represent?
- 9 A. This gives information on how blanket purchase
- 10 agreements -- things that the customer would need to get
- 11 | blanket purchase agreements established, how to -- you said
- 12 | just 238 and 239?
- 13 Q. 2398 just through 2400.
- 14 A. Okay. Okay. Yes. So the first couple is about the
- 15 blanket purchase agreements, what would be needed for
- 16 | customers to establish that.
- 17 Q. So, again, this was you -- why was this provided to the
- 18 | sales agents?
- 19 A. So that they could learn the systems and processes to
- 20 | assist a military customer if they needed assistance
- 21 purchasing our materials through any of these avenues.
- 22 Q. Okay. All right. Now, ma'am, how long did you hold the
- 23 | title of director of marketing administration?
- 24 A. Until August of 2020.
- 25 Q. Okay. And did you receive another change in your title

```
1 | at that time?
```

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And what was that new title?
- 4 A. Marketing HR director.
- 5 Q. Okay. And did this new title mean you no longer had the
- 6 responsibilities from your previous positions with GMS?
- 7 A. No. I kept those.
- 8 Q. Okay. So did you get additional responsibilities?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. What were they?
- 11 A. Data input of payroll and also increased responsibilities
- 12 | with internal employee HR oversight.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now I want to move on to trial Exhibit 49.
- 14 THE CLERK: I don't have this one.
- THE COURT: Okay. You don't have that one?
- 16 THE CLERK: No.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. She doesn't have that one.
- 18 | Just keep a list of it.
- 19 All right. Keep going, Counsel.
- MR. BERKLEY: Okay.
- 21 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 22 | Q. Ma'am, can you see trial Exhibit 49 on your screen?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. And how do you recognize this document?
- 25 A. It was one of the documents that I administered.

```
Okay. Is this a contract?
 1
     Q.
 2
     Α.
         Yes.
        Okay. And what is the date of this contract at the top?
 3
     Q.
     Α.
        April 3rd, 2011.
 5
     Q. Okay.
 6
              MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
 7
     this exhibit. It's superceded by when Mr. Greer became an
 8
     employee by his employment agreement, and then superceded by
 9
     his independent sales agent agreement. It's dated
10
     April 3rd --
11
              THE COURT: What exhibit is this?
12
              MR. BERKLEY: This is 49.
13
              MR. MCFARLAND: 49, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: It says objection withdrawn.
15
              MR. MCFARLAND: If admitted through Greer, not this
16
     witness. This is a hearsay document in any other context.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, so I understand your
18
     objection now. I will let him go ahead.
19
              And you finish asking your questions, and we'll
20
     decide if it comes in or not.
21
              MR. BERKLEY: Okay.
22
     BY MR. BERKLEY:
2.3
        Ma'am, was this one of the documents that you kept
24
     custodial control over?
25
     A. Yes.
```

- 1 Q. Okay. And this was in your position as HR director, and
- 2 | as operations manager, and as the marketing director for GMS?
- 3 A. Marketing HR director, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. All three positions.
- 6 Q. And what is the date of this document?
- 7 A. April 3rd, 2011.
- 8 Q. Okay. And were you working for GMS at that time?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And did you have the responsibility of maintaining
- 11 | the contract documents then?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. And who is this document for?
- 14 A. Westly Greer.
- 15 Q. Okay. And do you understand this to be one of
- 16 Mr. Greer's first contracts with GMS Industrial Supply?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would offer this into
- 19 evidence.
- 20 MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, this Court has already
- 21 | ruled that there is no claim as to Mr. Greer about
- 22 | non-competition. So that's why I say this document is
- 23 | irrelevant, in addition to the fact that it's been
- 24 superceded.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Headsets.

```
(Sidebar conference as follows.)
 1
 2
              THE COURT: All right, Mr. McFarland, lay that out
 3
     again for me, please.
              MR. MCFARLAND: Sure, Your Honor. This Court ruled
 4
 5
     in conjunction with the preliminary injunction hearing and
 6
     the motion to dismiss that we filed that there is no
 7
     non-competition claim as to Mr. Greer, so this document is
 8
     irrelevant. Moreover, it's dated April 3rd of 2011.
 9
     later became an employee, and he had an employment
10
     agreement, and that is what it is. And then after that he
11
     became an independent sales agent. And both of those
12
     documents we agree can be used because they cover the time
13
     period at issue in this case. But this document is wholly
14
     irrelevant, and it's bringing up a claim that no longer is
15
     in this case and has a real potential to prejudice this jury
16
     about something that is not a valid claim. They shouldn't
     be hearing about non-competition.
17
18
              THE COURT: Okay. So two things. I'm not as
19
     concerned about the timeline, but as it relates to the prior
20
     rulings.
2.1
              MR. WILSON: Your Honor, may I address this
2.2
     question?
2.3
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
24
              MR. WILSON: We dealt with this. As we noted, the
25
     objection had been removed, as far as I'm aware. But
```

```
anyway, in any event, the defendants in this, if you look at
 1
 2
     the final pretrial order --
              THE COURT: Right. Hold on one second.
 3
              So, Mr. McFarland, it does say --
 4
 5
              What document is this again?
 6
              MR. BERKLEY: 49.
 7
              THE COURT: 49, objection withdrawn. Now, why was
     your objection withdrawn, and what are you saying is
 8
 9
     different now?
10
              MR. MCFARLAND: I think it can be shown to Greer
11
     for notice, but it can't be admitted through this witness on
12
     the non-competition aspect.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I understand your
14
     argument.
15
              Now, Mr. Wilson, go ahead.
16
              MR. WILSON: Your Honor, we're not presenting this
17
     for the non-competition aspect. The defendants, when they
18
     put in their final pretrial order, added one of the issues
19
     of triable fact or issues of law is whether we had trade
20
     secrets and whether we protected our trade secrets. As you
21
     know, one of the elements to have a trade secret is to
2.2
     protect it. Part of that protection was we had contracts
2.3
     with the defendants going back to the initiation of their
24
     employment that have confidentiality clauses in them that
25
     prevent them from disclosing the trade secrets. So this
```

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
goes to the element of trade secrets. It goes to the
question of whether we were -- that's been brought by the
defendants, I might add -- whether we were defending our
trade secrets. And I believe this is a key aspect of that
element, and I don't believe that we're bringing it in for
any other reason.
         THE COURT: All right.
         MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, if I may, we're going to
be referring to section 1C of the first page of the
document, and we might also refer to Mr. Greer's signature
on the last, and that's it.
         THE COURT: Okay. All right. Objection is
overruled. It will be entered.
         (End of sidebar conference.)
         THE COURT: So the objection is overruled. The
document will be entered.
         You may publish.
         (Plaintiff's Exhibit 49 received in evidence.)
BY MR. BERKLEY:
Q. So, ma'am, on the first page in section 1C, do you see
that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you recognize the terms "confidential
information" in that section?
A. Yes, I do.
```

```
Okay. And do you understand what the purpose of that
 1
 2
     section was?
 3
     Α.
        Yes.
       And what is that?
     Ο.
 5
        To protect GMS's confidential --
 6
              MR. MCFARLAND: I object, Your Honor. It says what
 7
     it says.
 8
              THE COURT: All right.
 9
              MR. MCFARLAND: I don't think she's the author of
10
     this document, and it hasn't been raised that there is an
11
     ambiguity about that paragraph such that we need parole
12
     evidence to define it. It says what it says. And now we're
13
     trying to get a legal conclusion. And Mr. Berkley --
14
              THE COURT: I understand your objection.
15
              Mr. Berkley.
16
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I'm just having her point
17
     out the confidential information section in the contract. I
18
     can ask her to read it and not interpret it.
19
              THE COURT: Right. Let's ask her to read it.
20
     BY MR. BERKLEY:
        Ma'am, can you identify the confidential information
21
22
     section of this contract by pointing out where it is in the
2.3
     contract and reading that section for us?
24
              THE COURT: No. Just reading it to herself.
25
     BY MR. BERKLEY:
```

```
Reading it to yourself.
 1
     Q.
 2
     A. Okay. Okay.
 3
     Q. Ma'am, as the custodian administrator of the contracts,
     do you have an understanding that Westly Greer had an
 5
     obligation to keep documents confidential when he was --
 6
              MR. MCFARLAND: Well --
 7
              THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.
 8
              MR. BERKLEY: Okay.
 9
     BY MR. BERKLEY:
10
     Q. Okay. Ma'am, could you find the confidential information
11
     clause in the contract?
12
        Yes.
     Α.
13
              MR. BERKLEY: Okay. That's all of the questions I
14
    have on that, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: All right.
16
              MR. BERKLEY: Moving on to trial Exhibit 48, Your
17
     Honor.
18
              THE COURT: 48?
19
              MR. BERKLEY: 48.
20
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
21
     Q. Ma'am, do you recognize this document?
2.2
     Α.
        Yes, I do.
2.3
     Q. Was this one of the documents that you kept in your
24
     custodial duties of holding contracts for GMS Industrial
25
     Supply?
```

```
1
    Α.
        Yes.
 2
        Okay. And was this document for one of the defendants in
     this case?
 3
    Α.
        Yes.
        Okay. And do you know the date of this document?
     Q.
 6
    A. April 4th, 2011.
 7
              MR. BERKLEY: Okay. Your Honor, I would offer this
    into evidence.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
10
              MR. MCFARLAND: No objection, Your Honor, but I
11
     would ask if it's going to be put in the record, Mr. Greer's
12
     Social Security number is in there, and it hasn't been
1.3
     redacted.
14
              THE COURT: Then it's not going to be published, or
15
     at least that page that it's on is not going to be
16
    published, and it's going to be redacted. Plaintiffs will
17
    be responsible for redacting that before it goes back to the
18
     jury room.
```

- MR. BERKLEY: Understood, Your Honor.
- 20 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 48 received in evidence.)
- 21 BY MR. BERKLEY:
- 22 Q. Ms. Robichaux, do you recognize Westly Greer's signature
- 23 at the back of that document?
- 24 A. Yes, I do.
- 25 Q. Okay. Do you recognize or can you find the term

```
"confidential information" -- the terms "confidential
 1
     information" in this document?
 2
 3
    A. Yes.
     Q. Okay. Are they in this document on more than one
     occasion?
 6
    A. Yes.
 7
              MR. BERKLEY: All right. Your Honor, moving on to
 8
    trial Exhibit 48.
 9
              THE COURT: I thought this was 48.
10
              MR. BERKLEY: Wait. Excuse me. 6.
11
              MR. MCFARLAND: I'm sorry. Which exhibit are we
12
    moving to next?
13
              MR. BERKLEY: 6.
14
              THE COURT: 6.
15
             MR. MCFARLAND: Plaintiff's 6?
16
              THE COURT: Yes.
17
              MR. MCFARLAND: Okay. Thank you.
18
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
19
        Ma'am, do you recognize this document?
20
    Α.
        Yes.
2.1
        And was this one of the documents that you also kept as a
22
    custodian of the contracts for the sales agent employees of
23
    GMS Industrial Supply?
24
    A. Yes.
25
    Q. And is Westly Greer the employee identified in this
```

```
document?
 1
        Yes.
 2
     Α.
 3
     Q. Okay.
              MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, I show this document as
 4
 5
    being withdrawn, in part because if you look at the second
 6
    page, it's wholly illegible.
 7
              THE COURT: No, I don't -- is this 6, 06?
 8
              MR. BERKLEY: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: I don't show that on my order. Can you
10
     show me where that is, where it says withdrawn? I don't see
11
     it on the order.
12
              MR. MCFARLAND: Well, when we met, as the Court
13
     directed us to, I understood that because the second page,
14
     at least in my volume, the second page is illegible --
15
              THE COURT: Is that in your joint submissions to
16
    me?
17
              MR. MCFARLAND: I'm sorry. I don't know. I don't
18
     think it is, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. All right.
20
              MR. LASCARA: Your Honor, if I may? They have a
21
     version of it in their exhibits, I believe, that is clear,
22
     and our thought was we would just use that and shift it over
23
     to our list to address his concerns that it wasn't very
24
     legible. So, that was my understanding of how we were going
     to try to handle it.
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

```
THE COURT: All right. Well, that's not what seems
to be happening. What seems to be happening right now is
you're attempting to move this one in. So, that's something
you-all will have needed to work out.
        MR. BERKLEY: But, Your Honor, my understanding is
the objection is withdrawn. There is no objection by
Mr. McFarland on this one.
         THE COURT: That's my understanding as well.
        MR. BERKLEY: Okay.
        THE COURT: So anyway, go ahead.
        MR. BERKLEY: So, Your Honor, I would like to offer
this into evidence.
        THE COURT: All right.
        Any objection, Mr. McFarland?
        MR. MCFARLAND: No, Your Honor. It is what it is.
        THE COURT: All right. It will be entered.
        MR. LASCARA: And may we, if they're in agreement,
may we substitute it with the clearer version, Your Honor?
         THE COURT: You-all can work that out this evening.
        MR. LASCARA: Yes, sir. Thank you.
         (Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 received in evidence.)
BY MR. BERKLEY:
   Ma'am, do you see the terms "district manager" in this
document?
   Yes.
```

- 1 Q. Okay. And is this a document for Westly Greer?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Can you explain to me what the duties of the district
- 4 | manager are?
- 5 A. District manager would oversee a region or team of sales
- 6 agents to assist them with making sales, and communicate with
- 7 | the sales management team, marketing team.
- 8 Q. Okay. So it is the district managers who would oversee
- 9 the sales agents?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what is the date of this document?
- 12 A. November 5th, 2012.
- 13 Q. And did Westly Greer become a district manager for GMS
- 14 Industrial Supply on November 5th, 2012?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. Ma'am, can you find the terms "confidential
- 17 | information" in this document?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
- 20 Moving on to trial Exhibit 5.
- 21 Ma'am, do you recognize trial Exhibit Number 5?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. Was this one of the documents that you also served
- 24 | as custodian for GMS Industrial Supply?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
Okay. And what is the date of this document?
 1
     Q.
 2
        July 1st, 2015.
 3
     Q. Okay. And was Mr. Greer working for GMS Industrial
     Supply at that time?
    A. Yes, he was.
 6
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to offer
 7
    this into evidence.
 8
              THE COURT: All right.
 9
             Mr. McFarland.
10
              MR. MCFARLAND: No objection to this, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: All right. It will be entered.
12
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 received in evidence.)
13
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
14
       Ma'am, can you explain to us what this document is?
15
        This is a memo to Westly Greer that his new title would
16
    be director of sales for GMS Industrial Supply --
17
    Q. Okay.
18
       -- and notating his salary amount.
19
        Okay. Is there an effective date for this document?
     Q.
20
        Yes, July 1st, 2015.
21
        Okay. And at that time he began to oversee the other GMS
22
     sales agents?
2.3
       Oversee the entire sales force, yes.
24
     Q. Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
```

MR. BERKLEY: Moving on to trial Exhibit 9. Now, I

```
believe there is a duplicate for this one, Your Honor, also
 1
 2
     at trial Exhibit 28.
 3
              THE COURT: Which one are you seeking to move in?
              MR. BERKLEY: We're going to move in 28 because
 4
     it's a little bit more legible, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: All right.
 7
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
        Ma'am, do you recognize this document?
 8
       Yes.
 9
     Α.
10
       Is this one of the documents that you had custodial
11
     control over for GMS Industrial Supply?
12
        Yes.
    Α.
1.3
     Q. Okay. And what is the date of this document?
14
     A. This one is dated January 10th, 2017.
15
     Q. Okay. And was this document for Greer Group and Sabrina
16
    Greer?
    A. Yes, it is.
17
18
     Q. And were they working for -- was Sabrina Greer working
19
     for GMS Industrial Supply at the time, at the date of this
20
     document?
2.1
     A. Yes.
22
              MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to move this
2.3
     in as an exhibit.
```

MR. MCFARLAND: No objection to the exhibit itself,

THE COURT: Any objection?

24

```
Your Honor.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: All right. It will be entered.
 3
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 28 received in evidence.)
 4
              THE COURT: You may publish.
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
 6
     Q. Okay. Ma'am, does this document reference the term --
 7
              MR. BERKLEY: Are we publishing this, Your Honor?
 8
              THE COURT: You may, yes.
 9
    BY MR. BERKLEY:
10
        Does this document reference the term "territory"?
11
        Yes, it does.
    Α.
12
        Okay. And where do you see "territory" in this document?
     Q.
1.3
        In section B, also in section 1.
14
       Okay. Did sales agents have sales territories?
     Q.
15
    A. Yes.
16
        Okay. And were the sales agents that were given those
17
    territories, were those exclusive to those sales agents?
18
    A. No.
19
     Q. Okay. Did GMS have the right to add additional people to
20
    sales territories?
    A. Yes.
2.1
22
        Was that the non-exclusive nature of the sales agent
2.3
    agreements?
24
    A. Correct.
25
     Q. Okay. Ma'am, does this document refer to the terms
```

- 1 "aggressively promote"?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.
- 3 Q. And where do you see that in this document?
- 4 A. In section 1.
- 5 Q. Okay. Does this document also reference the terms
- 6 "confidential and proprietary"?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And can you tell us where that is found in the document?
- 9 A. Section 12.
- 10 Q. Okay. Ma'am, did GMS Industrial Supply place initial
- 11 lines on each page of this document?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. And why did it place the lines on each page of the
- 14 document?
- 15 A. To ensure that the sales agent read and understood --
- 16 read each page.
- 17 Q. Okay. Did the document also have a signature page?
- 18 A. Yes, it does.
- 19 Q. Okay. And do you know who initialed this document?
- 20 A. Sabrina Greer.
- 21 Q. And do you know who signed this document?
- 22 A. Sabrina Greer.
- MR. BERKLEY: Your Honor, I would like to move on
- 24 to trial Exhibit 8.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. I would like for the

```
lawyers to jump on the headsets.
 1
 2
              (Sidebar conference as follows.)
 3
              THE COURT: Thumbs up everybody.
              Plaintiff, how much more of this do you have today?
 4
 5
              MR. BERKLEY: There are several contract documents
 6
     that we have to get in, Your Honor. I'm just scratching the
 7
     surface at this point in time.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. We're going to end that
 9
     scratch for today.
10
              MR. BERKLEY: Okay.
11
              THE COURT: We're going to take up tomorrow. Okay?
12
              MR. BERKLEY: Okay.
13
              THE COURT: All right.
                                      Thank you.
14
              MR. MCFARLAND: Your Honor, before we get off, this
15
     is another document that has got Sabrina Greer's personal
16
     identifier.
              THE COURT: I'm going to raise that once I let the
17
18
     jury go, and we'll talk about that.
19
              MR. MCFARLAND: Okay. Thank you.
20
              (End of sidebar conference.)
21
              THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, I
22
     think this is as good a place as any to stop, given how much
2.3
     more testimony we have. So, I'm going to release you for
24
     the day.
              Again, I will admonish you not to discuss the case
25
```

```
with anyone, even amongst yourselves overnight. Do not do
 1
     any independent research on the case.
 3
              Enjoy your night. We'll see you back here
     tomorrow, and we'll get going bright and early at 9:15.
 4
 5
     Have a great evening.
 6
              (The jury exited the courtroom at 5:07 p.m.)
 7
              THE COURT: All right. So, a couple of things.
 8
              And, Mr. Berkley, you can return to the table or
 9
     you can stay at the podium.
10
              So, a couple of things. So, one, with respect to
11
     the exhibits, when I leave the bench, you will need to
12
     confer with my courtroom deputy. She's been nice enough to
13
    make a list of the stuff that hasn't come in, but this is
14
     your responsibility to make sure that she has these
15
     documents, because if they -- at the end of the day, if they
16
     don't get back to the jury, we're not going to hunt down to
17
     make sure that document number 258 is there. That's your
18
     responsibility to make sure she has it, to make sure that it
19
     got marked, so that it can get back to the jury. All right?
20
              MR. LASCARA: Yes, Your Honor. And I did speak
21
     with her regarding the transgressions earlier today, and we
22
    had them delivered, so I'm going to bring the first part of
2.3
     what we're looking for, and we'll get the rest of it.
24
              THE COURT: Very good.
              The next thing is you'll need to make sure that
25
```

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

```
these documents are scrubbed for personal identifying
information, so that needs to happen as well. Because I'm
not going to let anything go back to the jury that has that
information in it.
         MR. WILSON: I will personally make sure tonight
that you have these documents tomorrow, Your Honor, and all
personal information has been removed.
         THE COURT: Very good. All right.
         And by tomorrow at 9:00 a.m., you all are supposed
to have a joint verdict form, so we know that.
         And then when I leave the bench, Plaintiffs, I want
you to give the case that you cited that stood for the
proposition that notice was an acceptable means by which to
have a hearsay exception. I do want to read that case
overnight as it relates to those exhibits.
         MR. WILSON: Your Honor, I will check. I may have
a copy of it, but I think Mr. Gordon may have taken it with
him. I will make sure that you --
         THE COURT: You must get my law clerk's information
and make sure you get the cite to her, if you want me to
read it overnight. If you don't want me to read it
overnight, I won't read it tonight.
         MR. WILSON: I'll make sure your law clerk gets
copies.
         THE COURT: All right. That's all I have.
```

```
Plaintiff, anything else before we recess?
 1
 2
              MR. LASCARA: No, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: All right, Mr. McFarland.
 4
              MR. MCFARLAND:
                              Two things, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Certainly.
 6
              MR. MCFARLAND: First is I understand the
 7
     employment agreements or sales agent agreements for my
 8
     clients for the pertinent time can come in, and we didn't
 9
     object to those. But there are these continuing references
10
     to the confidential information section in them. There is
11
     no claim remaining in this case about confidential
12
     information. That was struck through both the preliminary
13
     injunction, Judge Smith's rulings, and the ruling on the
14
     motion to dismiss. And I have grave concerns that the jury
15
     is hearing repeatedly about confidential, confidential
16
     information. It's not part of this case.
17
              THE COURT: All right. I'm going to take a look at
18
     that overnight.
19
              MR. MCFARLAND: Okay.
20
              THE COURT: And if I need to do some type of
2.1
     instruction on that, I will.
2.2
              MR. MCFARLAND: The second thing that I will say
2.3
     that I am concerned about is -- and, look, I understand the
24
     plaintiffs have to put on their case, and I was happy
25
     because I thought that Mr. Berkley was done, and I was going
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

```
to get to cross-examine Ms. Robichaux, and I promise I would
have finished my cross by 5:00. I'm really concerned though
now, and I said this at the beginning of the trial, and I'm
serious, I've got to defend my clients. I've got five
clients, individuals, putting aside the corporate entities.
I am really concerned that I am going to have sufficient
time to do that. They're not going to finish tomorrow.
mean, I think that's perfectly clear. They've got two
experts that they're going to put on.
         THE COURT: How many more witnesses do you have,
Plaintiff?
        MR. LASCARA: We have the two experts that will
follow Ms. Robichaux. One is going to be fairly -- I think
both of them are going to be fairly short.
         THE COURT: Do you think you will finish tomorrow?
        That sounds like a no.
        MR. BERKLEY: I think Monday morning we would
finish. I think by noon on Monday we would finish.
         THE COURT: All right. How many days of testimony
do you have?
        MR. MCFARLAND: I am going to streamline, Your
Honor. I would think that we would have at least two.
         THE COURT: Look at it. Look at it overnight.
        MR. MCFARLAND: I will.
        THE COURT: And then let's discuss in the morning.
```

```
MR. MCFARLAND: Again, I appreciate that plaintiffs
 1
    have to present their case and it's their burden.
 2
 3
              THE COURT: I understand. I understand.
              MR. MCFARLAND: But I've got to defend my clients.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: The Court takes no offense to you
 6
     raising this, and it's proper given what we discussed at the
 7
     final pretrial conference, and it's been on my mind as well.
 8
     So that's well taken.
 9
              MR. MCFARLAND: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: Not a problem. All right. Thank you.
11
              So let's definitely be here by 9:00 a.m. tomorrow
12
     because we will have some things to discuss. I will be
13
     taking the bench at 9:00 for us to follow up on a few of
14
     these things before the jury comes in.
15
              Okay. Let's close court.
16
              (Proceedings adjourned at 5:13 p.m.)
17
                             CERTIFICATION
18
19
          I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
20
     from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
2.1
22
2.3
                               /s/
24
                             Jill H. Trail
                             June 5, 2022
25
```